STATE OF NEW YORK  COUNTY COURT : COUNTY OF CHEMUNG  In the Matter of the Retention of KEVIN SAUNDERS, 2003-1568 RJI No.  A Patient Admitted to the 2003-0316  Elmira Psychiatric Center.  Justice Building William Street Elmira, New York April 24, 2003  B E F O R E: HONORABLE JAMES T. HAYDEN, Judge.  A P P E A R A N C E S:  For the Petitioner: Attorney General's Office 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 17th Floo Binghamton, NY 13901-4433 By: Carol Cocchiola  For the Patient: Mental Hygiene Legal Service 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 16th Floo Binghamton, NY 13901-4435 By: Richard J. Wenig  The Defendant: In Person  Court Reporter: Michele L. Lear, RPR, RMR		1
In the Matter of the Retention of  KEVIN SAUNDERS,  A Patient Admitted to the Elmira Psychiatric Center.  Justice Building William Street Elmira, New York April 24, 2003  B E F O R E: HONORABLE JAMES T. HAYDEN, Judge.  A P P E A R A N C E S:  For the Petitioner: Attorney General's Office 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 17th Floo Binghamton, NY 13901-4433 By: Carol Cocchiola  For the Patient: Mental Hygiene Legal Service 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 16th Floo Binghamton, NY 13901-4435 By: Richard J. Wenig  The Defendant: In Person	STATE OF NEW YORK	
In the Matter of the Retention of  KEVIN SAUNDERS, 2003-1568 RJI No.  A Patient Admitted to the 2003-0316  Elmira Psychiatric Center.  Justice Building William Street Elmira, New York April 24, 2003  B E F O R E: HONORABLE JAMES T. HAYDEN, Judge.  A P P E A R A N C E S:  For the Petitioner: Attorney General's Office 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 17th Floo Binghamton, NY 13901-4433 By: Carol Cocchiola  For the Patient: Mental Hygiene Legal Service 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 16th Floo Binghamton, NY 13901-4435 By: Richard J. Wenig  The Defendant: In Person	COUNTY COURT :	COUNTY OF CHEMUNG
KEVIN SAUNDERS,  A Patient Admitted to the 2003-1568 RJI No.  2003-0316  Elmira Psychiatric Center.  Justice Building William Street Elmira, New York April 24, 2003  B E F O R E: HONORABLE JAMES T. HAYDEN, Judge.  A P P E A R A N C E S:  For the Petitioner: Attorney General's Office 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 17th Floor Binghamton, NY 13901-4433 By: Carol Cocchiola  For the Patient: Mental Hygiene Legal Service 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 16th Floor Binghamton, NY 13901-4435 By: Richard J. Wenig  The Defendant: In Person		
RJI No.  A Patient Admitted to the 2003-0316  Elmira Psychiatric Center.  Justice Building William Street Elmira, New York April 24, 2003  B E F O R E: HONORABLE JAMES T. HAYDEN, Judge.  A P P E A R A N C E S:  For the Petitioner: Attorney General's Office 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 17th Floo Binghamton, NY 13901-4433 By: Carol Cocchiola  For the Patient: Mental Hygiene Legal Service 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 16th Floo Binghamton, NY 13901-4435 By: Richard J. Wenig  The Defendant: In Person	III CHE MACCE OF THE	
A Patient Admitted to the 2003-0316 Elmira Psychiatric Center.  Justice Building William Street Elmira, New York April 24, 2003  B E F O R E: HONORABLE JAMES T. HAYDEN, Judge.  A P P E A R A N C E S: For the Petitioner: Attorney General's Office 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 17th Floor Binghamton, NY 13901-4433 By: Carol Cocchiola  For the Patient: Mental Hygiene Legal Service 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 16th Floor Binghamton, NY 13901-4435 By: Richard J. Wenig  The Defendant: In Person	KEVIN SAUNDERS,	
Justice Building William Street Elmira, New York April 24, 2003  B E F O R E: HONORABLE JAMES T. HAYDEN, Judge.  A P P E A R A N C E S:  For the Petitioner: Attorney General's Office 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 17th Floor Binghamton, NY 13901-4433 By: Carol Cocchiola  For the Patient: Mental Hygiene Legal Service 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 16th Floor Binghamton, NY 13901-4435 By: Richard J. Wenig  The Defendant: In Person	A Datient Admitted t	
Justice Building William Street Elmira, New York April 24, 2003  B E F O R E: HONORABLE JAMES T. HAYDEN, Judge.  A P P E A R A N C E S:  For the Petitioner: Attorney General's Office 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 17th Floor Binghamton, NY 13901-4433 By: Carol Cocchiola  For the Patient: Mental Hygiene Legal Service 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 16th Floor Binghamton, NY 13901-4435 By: Richard J. Wenig  The Defendant: In Person		
William Street Elmira, New York April 24, 2003  B E F O R E: HONORABLE JAMES T. HAYDEN, Judge.  A P P E A R A N C E S:  For the Petitioner: Attorney General's Office 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 17th Floo Binghamton, NY 13901-4433 By: Carol Cocchiola  For the Patient: Mental Hygiene Legal Service 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 16th Floo Binghamton, NY 13901-4435 By: Richard J. Wenig  The Defendant: In Person	<del>-</del>	
William Street Elmira, New York April 24, 2003  B E F O R E: HONORABLE JAMES T. HAYDEN, Judge.  A P P E A R A N C E S:  For the Petitioner: Attorney General's Office 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 17th Floo Binghamton, NY 13901-4433 By: Carol Cocchiola  For the Patient: Mental Hygiene Legal Service 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 16th Floo Binghamton, NY 13901-4435 By: Richard J. Wenig  The Defendant: In Person	Jus	tice Building
April 24, 2003  B E F O R E: HONORABLE JAMES T. HAYDEN, Judge.  A P P E A R A N C E S:  For the Petitioner: Attorney General's Office 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 17th Floor Binghamton, NY 13901-4433 By: Carol Cocchiola  For the Patient: Mental Hygiene Legal Service 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 16th Floor Binghamton, NY 13901-4435 By: Richard J. Wenig  The Defendant: In Person	Wil	liam Street
B E F O R E: HONORABLE JAMES T. HAYDEN, Judge.  A P P E A R A N C E S:  For the Petitioner: Attorney General's Office		
A P P E A R A N C E S:  For the Petitioner: Attorney General's Office 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 17th Floo Binghamton, NY 13901-4433 By: Carol Cocchiola  For the Patient: Mental Hygiene Legal Service 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 16th Floo Binghamton, NY 13901-4435 By: Richard J. Wenig  The Defendant: In Person	Apr	11 24, 2003
A P P E A R A N C E S:  For the Petitioner: Attorney General's Office 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 17th Floo Binghamton, NY 13901-4433 By: Carol Cocchiola  For the Patient: Mental Hygiene Legal Service 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 16th Floo Binghamton, NY 13901-4435 By: Richard J. Wenig  The Defendant: In Person		
A P P E A R A N C E S:  For the Petitioner: Attorney General's Office 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 17th Floo Binghamton, NY 13901-4433 By: Carol Cocchiola  For the Patient: Mental Hygiene Legal Service 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 16th Floo Binghamton, NY 13901-4435 By: Richard J. Wenig  The Defendant: In Person	BEFORE: HON	ORABLE JAMES T. HAYDEN. Judge.
For the Petitioner: Attorney General's Office 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 17th Floor Binghamton, NY 13901-4433 By: Carol Cocchiola  For the Patient: Mental Hygiene Legal Service 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 16th Floor Binghamton, NY 13901-4435 By: Richard J. Wenig  The Defendant: In Person		
For the Petitioner: Attorney General's Office 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 17th Floor Binghamton, NY 13901-4433 By: Carol Cocchiola  For the Patient: Mental Hygiene Legal Service 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 16th Floor Binghamton, NY 13901-4435 By: Richard J. Wenig  The Defendant: In Person		
44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 17th Floor Binghamton, NY 13901-4433 By: Carol Cocchiola  For the Patient:  Mental Hygiene Legal Service 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 16th Floor Binghamton, NY 13901-4435 By: Richard J. Wenig  The Defendant:  In Person	APPEARANCE	S:
44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 17th Floor Binghamton, NY 13901-4433 By: Carol Cocchiola  For the Patient:  Mental Hygiene Legal Service 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 16th Floor Binghamton, NY 13901-4435 By: Richard J. Wenig  The Defendant:  In Person	For the Petitioner:	Attorney General's Office
Binghamton, NY 13901-4433 By: Carol Cocchiola  For the Patient:  Mental Hygiene Legal Service 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 16th Floo Binghamton, NY 13901-4435 By: Richard J. Wenig  The Defendant:  In Person		
By: Carol Cocchiola  For the Patient: Mental Hygiene Legal Service 44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 16th Floo Binghamton, NY 13901-4435 By: Richard J. Wenig  The Defendant: In Person		
44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 16th Floo Binghamton, NY 13901-4435 By: Richard J. Wenig  The Defendant: In Person	·	
44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 16th Floo Binghamton, NY 13901-4435 By: Richard J. Wenig  The Defendant: In Person		-
44 Hawley Street State Office Building, 16th Floo Binghamton, NY 13901-4435 By: Richard J. Wenig  The Defendant: In Person		
State Office Building, 16th Floo Binghamton, NY 13901-4435 By: Richard J. Wenig  The Defendant: In Person	For the Patient:	<del>-</del> -
Binghamton, NY 13901-4435 By: Richard J. Wenig  The Defendant: In Person		
By: Richard J. Wenig  The Defendant: In Person		
Court Reporter: Michele L. Lear, RPR, RMR	The Defendant:	In Person
Court Reporter: Michele L. Lear, RPR, RMR		
Court Reporter: Michele L. Lear, RPR, RMR		
	Court Reporter:	Michele L. Lear, RPR, RMR

						2	
1			T 11 D	<b>.</b>			
2			IND	<u>E X</u>			
3	WITNESSI	<u>ss</u>					
4	For th	ne People:	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS	
5	1. Pá	aul Povinelli pril Roberts	3	16 44		<del>-</del> -	
6	3. Ja	anet Stevens	54	60			
7	For th	ne Defendant:					
8		evin Saunders	66	102			
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1	THE COURT: Okay. This is an application on
2	behalf of Mr. Saunders for release.
3	MR. WENIG: Yes, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Ready to proceed?
5	MR. WENIG: Yes, your Honor.
6	MS. COCCHIOLA: Yes, we are, Judge.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
8	MS. COCCHIOLA: Thank you. The State would
9	call Dr. Povinelli.
10	PAUL POVINELLI, having been called as a
11	witness, being duly sworn, testified as follows:
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION
13	BY MS. COCCHIOLA:
14	Q. Doctor, do you want to have the chart or the
15	your report?
16	A. I have my report right here.
17	Q. I'm sorry. If you would start, please, by
18	telling us your name for the record.
19	A. My name is Dr. Paul T. Povinelli. I'm a licensed
20	clinical psychologist on admissions service at Elmira
21	Psychiatric Center.
22	Q. And what is your position there?
23	A. I'm the psychologist on the admission service, a
24	licensed PhD. I examine most of the patients that come
25	through.

1 Q. And could you tell us about your educational 2 background. 3 I received my doctorate at the University of Α. Southern California, my internship at Cal State 4 Northridge and I've been employed by the State since 5 1980. 6 7 0. Doctor, let me ask you: Are you familiar with a 8 patient currently at the Elmira Psychiatric Center by 9 the name of Kevin Saunders? 10 Α. Yes, I am. 11 How are you familiar with Mr. Saunders? I'm familiar with Mr. Saunders on two levels. 12 13 One, recently he was remanded to our care at Elmira Psychiatric Center and I was assigned to treat him. 14 15 That was on 4/4 of this year. And prior to that I did an examination of him for the Tompkins County Court in 16 1997. 17 And what would that type of examination have 18 Q. 19 been? 20 He was a 730.20. It was for a standing trial, Α. competency to stand trial. 21 And can you tell us, did you examine Mr. Saunders 22 Q. at that time? 23 24 A. At that time I most certainly did. I

administered a full battery of psychological tests, made

1	my recommendations to the Court.
2	Q. And can you tell us about
3	MR. WENIG: Your Honor, excuse me. May
4	counsel approach?
5	THE COURT: Sure.
6	(Bench conference)
7	MR. WENIG: I apologize, Judge. I should
8	have brought this up before the hearing began. I
9	just want to note on the record that during the
10	course of Mr. Saunders' 1997 criminal incident
11	when he was prosecuted by the Tompkins County
12	District Attorney's Office, April Smith from our
13	office, who's currently a senior attorney with
14	the Mental Health Legal Services in Binghamton,
15	was employed by the Tompkins County District
16	Attorney's Office.
17	She had no relationship with the case
18	concerning Mr. Saunders while working with the
19	District Attorney and she has had no relationship
20	with the case currently with Mr. Saunders as an
21	MHLS attorney.
22	THE COURT: May I presume you are just
23	laying background of his familiarity?
24	MS. COCCHIOLA: Right, Judge.
25	THE COURT. We are not going to be getting

1	into the '97 diagnosis and
2	MS. COCCHIOLA: Well, only as it regards
3	Dr. Povinelli's opinion because he saw him then,
4	he sees him now. And, in fact, Judge, there's an
5	application before Judge Raleigh scheduled to be
6	heard Monday on the CPL 330 aspect of the case.
7	THE COURT: That case is still pending?
8	MS. COCCHIOLA: Well, the hospital has
9	applied for recommitment.
10	THE COURT: I see.
11	MS. COCCHIOLA: He's been out on conditions
12	for five years.
13	THE COURT: I understand. But Ms. Smith had
14	no connection with the actual working of the
15	case?
16	MR. WENIG: That's correct, your Honor.
17	MS. COCCHIOLA: The ADA was Gary Surdell.
18	THE COURT: Okay. I don't see any problem
19	here.
20	MR. WENIG: I just wanted to present that
21	for the Court after counsel
22	THE COURT: That's fine. Thank you.
23	MS. COCCHIOLA: Thank you.
24	MR. WENIG: Thank you, Judge.
25	(End bench conference)

1	THE COURT: Go ahead.
2	MS. COCCHIOLA: Thank you.
3	BY MS. COCCHIOLA:
4	Q. Doctor, you examined Mr. Saunders at that time?
5	A. At the request of the Court, yes, I did.
6	Q. Where was he located there?
7	A. I examined him in the Tompkins County court
8	building at the time.
9	Q. All right. And you made a report for the Court
10	there?
11	A. Made a report for the Court at that time.
12	Q. And did you also examine Mr. Saunders when you
13	saw him on his most on his admission earlier this
14	month?
15	A. Yes, I did. I did not administer a full battery
16	of tests, I did a simple mental examination.
17	Q. Would Mr. Saunders agree to let you administer
18	the full battery of tests?
19	A. I didn't wish to do it at that time because I saw
20	essentially the same thing I saw in 1997.
21	Q. All right. Now, as far as the his appearance
22	when you interviewed him earlier this month, can you
23	describe for us how his mental state was.
24	A. He was kind of confused when I saw him, he was
25	experiencing auditory hallucinations, he said he was

1	hearing gibbering voices talking to him, telling him to
2	hurt himself, but he assured me he wouldn't hurt himself
3	but the gibbering voices were there. He was very
4	vigilant and fearing that people were conspiring against
5	him, that people were poisoning marijuana that he was
6	smoking, that the conspiracy is against him.
7	Q. Now, when you saw him in 1997, did you make some
8	diagnosis?
9	A. At that time I called him major affective
10	disorder with psychiatric features exacerbated by
11	chronic marijuana abuse.
12	Q. And how about his diagnosis at this time?
13	A. At this time he carries affective disorder, again
14	bipolar disorder manic phase with psychotic features,
15	again, exacerbated by marijuana.
16	Q. Now, has Mr. Saunders told you
17	THE COURT: Hold on. You are going a little
18	bit quick for me.
19	MS. COCCHIOLA: I think we're talking too
20	fast.
21	THE COURT: I can only write so fast.
22	THE WITNESS: I'll slow down.
23	Q. You said it was a major affective disorder?
24	A. Basically encompassing bipolar disorder, has
25	major affective disorder.

Q.

1 THE COURT: Okay, go ahead. 2 Q. Now, you indicated marijuana use. Did 3 Mr. Saunders admit --Yes, he did. 4 Α. 5 -- marijuana use? Q. 6 Α. Yes. 7 Q. And what did he tell you as far as frequency? 8 Α. He tells me he smokes quite frequently, sometimes 9 daily, and it has no relation to his psychiatric state. 10 Q. That's his opinion? 11 That's his opinion, yes. Α. 12 Q. And is that consistent with when you saw him in 1997? 13 14 Exactly the same. Α. 15 Now, as far as his -- that's an Axis I diagnosis? Q. 16 Α. Right. 17 Q. Does he have another diagnosis? Axis II, we felt that he was somewhat borderline 18 Α. narcissistic in his personality. The primary diagnosis 19 20 is Axis I. 21 Q. Does he have a cannabis dependence in any --As far as I'm concerned he does, yes. 22 Α. 23 And that's also something that --Q. Axis I. 24 A.

Okay. Now, Doctor, I note you prepared a report

- in connection with your most recent examination that includes gender identity disorder adult.
  - A. Right.

- Q. What is that related to?
- A. He's a cross-dresser.
- Q. And he admits to that?
- 7 A. He admits to that. He's been doing that since he 8 was a teenager.
  - Q. Now, Doctor, when you saw him, did you -- when you said you conducted a mental status exam, what did that include?
  - A. Basic questions as to his mental state, how he was functioning, how he was thinking, his orientation. His judgment, his insight.
  - Q. And can you tell us -- first I guess I'll start with the last. His judgment and insight, does he believe he has a mental illness?
  - A. He believes he has a mental illness when I saw him, that he wasn't thinking straight and was secondary to a systemic infection. He believes he has a systemic infection causing the problems.
    - Q. And what infection was he referring to?
  - A. He stated he had an infection in his foot that wasn't being treated properly, that had generalized to a general body infection. I did not examine his foot, I

- left that to the medical department.
- Q. And as far as that possibility, is that something you took into consideration?
  - A. Yes, but I did not think that's what I was seeing.
    - Q. And why would you rule that out?
  - A. If he had meningitis, he would be in ICU somewhere, he wouldn't be sitting before me in a psychiatric service.
  - Q. Now, he told you about his marijuana use. Did he see that it might have precipitated his admission?
  - A. He felt his marijuana may have been spiked. Someone might have put something in the marijuana.
  - Q. Did he talk to you about the events that led up to his admission to the hospital in the beginning of this month?
  - A. Briefly. Briefly. That he had been brought by ambulance to the medical center, that he had been hospitalized there briefly.
  - Q. All right. And does he have any recollection of what occurred?
  - A. Well, when he spoke to me at that time, his recollection was spotty. He was having memory problems.
    - Q. What did he tell you?
    - A. That he wasn't thinking straight, that he was

- hearing voices, that there were plots going on against him and he wasn't able to give me a clear sequence of events.

  Q. While at Elmira has he exhibited any odd -- evidence of auditory or visual hallucinations?

  A. At the beginning he certainly did upon admission. Staff had reported that he's been mumbling
  - admission. Staff had reported that he's been mumbling to himself. But right now I don't see any visual hallucinations, auditory hallucinations. There were on admission.
  - Q. And as far as programs are concerned, does he go to programs?
  - A. No, he doesn't. He's on ward restriction since he's come in.
  - Q. Why is that?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Because of his unpredictable behavior and he's a CPL.
  - Q. What do you mean by unpredictable behavior?
    - A. When he came in, he became very violent. He attacked staff, he spit at them, he had to be restrained. He had to be medicated and strapped down to the bed.
      - Q. And as far as since that time?
      - A. He is untrustworthy, people don't trust him.
    - Q. So, he's still on the restriction?

- A. He's still on the restriction at this time, yes.
- Q. Now, Doctor, is he compliant with any medication recommended by his doctor?
  - A. He has refused all psychotropic medications. He feels he does not have a psychiatric problem, it was physical.
  - Q. All right. Was that similar to his --
    - A. That was identical -- very much identical to 1997. At that time he felt he had a psychosis secondary to the medications he was being given back then.
    - Q. All right. And as far as the underlying offense, what was that related to?
    - A. He burnt down his girlfriend's trailer. He set it on fire. He was responding to auditory hallucinations at that time.
    - Q. And was it -- did he also have any of the gender identity issues there?
    - A. He did dress in female's clothing and he stated it had something to do with tableau from <u>Silence of the Lambs</u>.
    - Q. Now, Doctor, did you examine Mr. Saunders today in fact?
      - A. Yes, I did. This morning I spoke with him.
    - Q. And can you tell us what he had to say regarding his mental health issues.

Q.

1	A. Well, he feels the mental health issues are
2	secondary to a physical illness. That it was due to a
3	breakdown of medications in his system that poisoned
4	him. He also referred back to Silence of the Lambs
5	again, which he did back in 1997. It didn't change at
6	all. The same story. It was very rambling and his
7	logic didn't follow in the proper sequence.
8	Q. Now, what do you mean? What was he saying about
9	Silence of the Lambs today?
10	A. He was basically equating himself to Hannibal
11	Lecter and coincidences in his own life regarding
12	Silence of the Lambs and how he has responded.
13	Q. Now, as far as his need for inpatient care and
14	treatment, do you believe that he continues to need to
15	be at the Elmira Psychiatric Center?
16	A. I believe he needs treatment, that he should be
17	treated and he should be taking medications to
18	stabilize.
19	Q. And what is the interaction between the cannabis
20	or the marijuana use and his illness?
21	A. In my experience, I found people who had a
22	psychotic disorder, bipolar disorder with psychotic
23	features, marijuana can exacerbate it and make it more
24	paranoid.

Can it affect if you are in a manic phase versus

1 a depressive phase? 2 Either stage it can bring out more paranoia. 3 Q. Now, Doctor, do you feel if he were released right now -- first of all, would he be compliant with 4 5 any kind of outpatient treatment? 6 Α. He has not been compliant with his conditions as 7 a CPL patient for five years now. And based on past 8 behavior, I have no expectation he would follow through 9 today. 10 Q. Does he tell you that he would? He tells me there's nothing wrong with him except 11 for a physical illness. 12 13 Q. And, Doctor, do you feel if he were released 14 today, would he pose a risk of danger to himself or 15 others? 16 I believe if he got back to the marijuana again, 17 he might certainly pose a risk. 18 Now, Doctor, when you give your opinion -- let me Q. 19 ask you. What have you reviewed regarding his most recent admission prior to coming to court? 20 21 I reviewed his past history. He's going back to 22 1997, CPL papers, reports from various doctors at Rochester psych, as well as the reports of Cayuga 23

Medical.

24

25

Q. As far as the issues regarding his behavior right

before admission, would those be significant to you in
rendering the opinion you just gave us?
A. Yes. Yes, absolutely.
Q. What were the circumstances surrounding his
admission?
A. He had gone to Cayuga Medical, he was acting very
bizarrely. It was reported that he was running around
town naked, he wasn't thinking clearly, he felt there
was a conspiracy with regard to Adolf Hitler. He was
seen to be severe enough in his behavior that the folks
at Cayuga Medical Center sent him down to us.
Q. Was he sent down when after that behavior on
the same day?
A. I'd have to check the record.
Q. Okay. Within a day or so?
A. Within a day or so, yes.
Q. Okay. Thank you.
MS. COCCHIOLA: I have nothing further of
Dr. Povinelli.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. WENIG:
Q. Good morning, Doctor. You made the statement
earlier that Kevin's on ward restrictions because
"people don't trust him"?

A. His behavior has been very erratic. When he came

Q.

1 in -- he was very violent when he came in. 2 taking any medications. 3 Ο. Which people are we talking about that don't trust him, Doctor? 4 5 Α. The psychiatric staff. The people on staff, 6 medical staff treating him. 7 Wouldn't the progress notes reflect that at least 8 in recent days Kevin's been compliant with --9 He has not accepted any medications or any Α. treatment. He has not caused any major problems. 10 11 Let's see. Regarding Kevin's rejection of Ο. 12 treatment and medications, that's been the case pretty much since his condition was implemented five years ago? 13 14 That's when the case as far as a number of Α. 15 years --16 But your statement was he isn't complying with 17 the CPL conditions. 18 Α. He hasn't been. 19 Which conditions? Q. 20 Α. The conditions of taking medications. 21 Anything else? Q. I haven't followed him, so.... I know he is 22 Α. keeping his appointments. But he was not taking 23 24 prescribed medications.

Okay. What medications were prescribed five

1	V0277 2702
1	years ago?
2	A. I'd have to go and look at the chart.
3	Q. You don't know?
4	A. I don't know that.
5	Q. Do you know if any medications were, in fact,
6	prescribed five years ago?
7	A. At the time I presume they were.
8	Q. That's a presumption on your part?
9	A. It's a presumption. I don't have the chart with
LO	me.
L1	Q. So, you don't know? So, in fact, no medication
L2	may not have been prescribed?
L3	A. The last hearing he had he had a CPL hearing
L4	several weeks ago. At that hearing I was told he has
L5	not been in compliance.
L6	Q. What hearing is that?
L7	A. You were present at it, at Elmira Psychiatric
L8	Center.
L9	Q. You characterize that as a hearing, I'm sorry?
20	A. It was a hearing, yes. It was a presentation for
21	extension of I was under the assumption it was.
22	Q. So, you are referring to the forensic committee
23	meeting at Elmira Psychiatric Center two weeks ago. So,
24	there was no hearing involved?

It was a hearing before the forensic committee.

1	Q. Okay. And at that meeting the committee
2	determined that Mr. Saunders should have his order of
3	conditions renewed?
4	A. That was the general consensus.
5	Q. And, again, the statement was purportedly made to
6	the committee that Mr. Saunders has not been taking his
7	prescribed medication?
8	A. His outpatient the outpatient folks told me
9	that at that meeting.
10	Q. Was Dr. Belsare his treating psychiatrist at that
11	meeting?
12	A. She's the treating psychiatrist. I don't
13	recall was she at the meeting? I don't recall. I
14	think she was. She was sitting across from me.
15	Q. Do you recall what medication, if any, was
16	prescribed or purportedly prescribed?
17	A. I didn't go over the medication, that's not my
18	department.
19	Q. So, you are not sure what the medication is?
20	A. It is not my department to go over the
21	medications.
22	Q. But you are saying Mr. Saunders is not complying
23	with or something he may not be complying with?
24	A. I was told that at that meeting.

Q. Okay. So, you don't know?

- A. Firsthand, no.
- 2 Q. Thank you.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

- A. I don't order the medications, that's not my department.
  - Q. All right. And, again, as you testified, as far as you know, Mr. Saunders was complying with all the other requirements of the CPL?
  - A. As far as I know.
    - Q. So, he was attending the monthly meetings and --
    - A. He was going to meetings, that's what I was told.
  - Q. Okay. You said you had a chance to review some of Mr. Saunders records that were maintained by the Psychiatric Center and the clinic?
    - A. Yes, I reviewed --
    - Q. Did you have a chance to review any of the records when he was being seen by the mental health clinic up in Ithaca?
    - A. No, I didn't review those records.
    - Q. So, you have no, you have no knowledge of what transpired during that time?
- 21 A. At Ithaca I have no knowledge.
  - Q. So, the review of the records pertaining to the last year or so at Ithaca --
  - A. Last year and my prior records in 1997, I had seen him back then. During the ensuing years I did not

		`
		П
ı	_	J
`	$\nu$	

	21
1	treat him.
2	Q. I'm sorry?
3	A. During the ensuing years I did not treat him.
4	Q. So, as you testified, when Mr. Saunders came to
5	the psychiatric center a few weeks ago he was quite
6	disoriented
7	A. He was disoriented, he was psychotic, he was
8	hallucinating.
9	Q. And you also testified those visual and auditory
10	hallucinations seemed to have dissipated?
11	A. At this point in time they have essentially
12	dissipated.
13	Q. You said that Mr. Saunders needs treatment in
14	order to stabilize. What kind of treatment are you
15	proposing?
16	A. I believe that he should have continued
17	medication for bipolar disorder.
18	Q. Does anybody else concur with that assessment?
19	A. I think my colleagues would concur with it.
20	Q. But you don't know?
21	MS. COCCHIOLA: Objection as to the form.
22	Anybody, that means anybody in the world.
23	Meaning Mr. Saunders? Is there a specific
24	A. My colleagues right now concur with me.

THE COURT: All right. Overruled. I

1		understood.
2	Q.	Which colleagues concur?
3	A.	You can ask Dr. Roberts when she takes the stand.
4	Q.	Is that the only colleague that would concur?
5	A.	The other physicians at the hospital would.
6	Q.	Such as?
7	A.	Deputy director Dr. Garayli, Dr. Zelcio
8	(phone	tic).
9	Q.	Have they all seen Mr. Saunders?
10	A.	I presume they have.
11	Q.	You presume. You don't know?
12	A.	I don't sit in on the meetings, Mr. Wenig.
13	Q.	But you are guessing.
14	A.	I'm testifying as to what went on at the hearing
15	at the	forensic meeting several weeks ago, my
16	examin	ation of the patient.
17	Q.	But I'm trying to ascertain about your colleagues
18	concur	ring. You don't know whether they concur or not,
19	do you	?
20		MS. COCCHIOLA: I'm going to object again.
21		THE COURT: Sustained.
22	A.	They told me they concur with the diagnosis.
23		THE COURT: Doctor, I sustained the
24		objection.
25	Q.	Doctor, have you had a chance to read a report

1	presented to the District Attorney for Tompkins County
2	in a letter dated April 7th, 2003? Those are the
3	minutes from the, quote, unquote, hearing you have
4	mentioned a few minutes ago.
5	A. I haven't read the minutes of it, no.
6	Q. Doctor, what kind of medication is Trileptal?
7	A. I'd leave it up to Dr. Roberts to talk about the
8	medications.
9	Q. You've been talking about the medications freely,
10	haven't you?
11	A. You've been asking me actual prescribed
12	medications, that's her department.
13	Q. Back in 1997 you said Mr. Saunders was talking
14	about Hannibal Lecter and Silence of the Lambs?
15	A. Right.
16	Q. When was he talking about that?
17	A. Back then he had said there was a tableau that he
18	experienced at the time he burnt down the trailer that
19	he was responding to visual hallucinations of hands
20	directing him towards flammable materials and the hands
21	similar to those of Hannibal Lecter's in Silence of the

Q. And you mentioned this conversation that occurred earlier today he had --

Lambs from what I recall.

22

23

24

25

A. He was speaking again about Hannibal Lecture and

1	about <u>Silence of the Lambs</u> to a social worker,
2	psychiatrist and myself.
3	Q. In what context, sir?
4	A. In the context of his behavior, coincidences of
5	his behavior. That was this morning.
6	Q. So, that was a current discussion of
7	Mr. Saunders' state of mind or was that just you were
8	asking about what happened in 1997?
9	A. It was a current discussion of where he was at
10	this morning. The three of us got together and looked
11	at him and spoke with him.
12	Q. Doctor, did you discuss any of the diagnoses that
13	you mentioned a few minutes ago with Mr. Saunders?
14	A. I specifically didn't today, no.
15	Q. Have you, have you done that since he's been
16	admitted?
17	A. I've told him that I believe he has bipolar
18	disorder and needs medication.
19	Q. Doctor, would it surprise you that there's some
20	disagreement between the clinicians at the Psychiatric
21	Center just to what Mr. Saunders' Axis I diagnosis is?
22	A. There's no disagreement between Dr. Roberts and
23	myself. We're treating him right now, we're consistent.
24	Q. Would it surprise you that the reports have been

to the District Attorney stated that the Axis I

- 25 1 diagnoses were generalized anxiety disorder, psychotic 2 disorder not otherwise specified and cannabis dependence? 3 4 A. Not otherwise specified. Basically you see a 5 psychiatrist to get more information on the disorder. 6 That diagnosis was changed. 7 Q. By whom? 8 By Dr. Roberts and myself who were treating him. 9 He carries a diagnosis of bipolar disorder manic with 10 psychotic features. 11 Going back to that meeting last month concerning 12 Mr. Saunders order of conditions, the meeting that took place at the Psychiatric Center that you said you were 13 14 present at. 15 Α. Right. 16 Do you recall what the psychiatrist said 17 Mr. Saunders diagnosis was? I don't recall at that point in time. 18 Α. 19 Doctor, from your experience, is it possible that Q. certain medical conditions can lead to what would appear 20 to be psychotic symptoms? 21
- 22 A. It's possible, yes.

24

- Q. And that's Mr. Saunders assertion that he had a medical condition --
  - A. That's been his assertion since I first saw him

behavior back in 1997?

Α.

24

25

26 1 in 1997. 2 And that's also his position --3 Α. That he suffered from a medical condition, not 4 psychosis. 5 Ο. And the medical condition was an infection in his foot? 6 7 Α. That's what he told us at that time on his 8 admission. 9 What was the medical condition he discussed in 0. 10 1997? 11 He said he had a bad reaction to the medications Α. 12 he was being given and the combination of medications. Do you know what those medications were? 13 Ο. 14 He was on SSR antidepressants. I think it was Α. 15 Prozac. 16 Are you aware of any studies or incidences, Doctor, where Prozac or other similar medications have 17 had an adverse affect on individuals? 18 There have been rare studies, rare incidences 19 20 where that has happened. And have you reviewed any of Mr. Saunders prior 21 record where he has debated and analyzed the rationale 22 behind the statement about the medications affecting his 23

He has told us about it, medication reactions, at

1	length.
2	Q. But you haven't reviewed any of the
3	A. Not recently, no.
4	Q. All right. Thank you, Doctor.
5	MR. WENIG: I have no further questions.
6	MS. COCCHIOLA: I have nothing further of
7	Dr. Povinelli.
8	THE COURT: The witness is excused.
9	(Whereupon the witness was excused)
10	MS. COCCHIOLA: The State would call
11	Dr. Roberts.
12	APRIL ROBERTS, having been called as a
13	witness, being duly sworn, testified as follows:
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION
15	BY MS. COCCHIOLA:
16	Q. If you would begin, please, by giving us your
17	name for the record.
18	A. Yes. My name is April Roberts.
19	Q. And would you tell us where you are employed.
20	A. Elmira Psychiatric Center.
21	Q. And can you tell us your position there.
22	A. I'm employed as a psychiatrist.
23	Q. And are you licensed to practice medicine in the
24	State of New York?
25	A. Yes.

- Q. Would you tell us about your educational background.
  - A. Yes. I completed medical school at Michigan
    State University and I completed my residency training
    in psychiatry at the Medical College of Wisconsin.
  - Q. And can you tell us about your clinical experience.
  - A. Yes. I've been employed by the State of New York as a psychiatrist since 1988.
    - Q. And what types of facilities or types of clinical work have you done since 1988?
    - A. I've worked in state hospitals with New York
      State OMH, Office of Mental Health, and I've worked in
      outpatient clinics and inpatient clinics on admissions
      units, geriatric, psychiatry and its chronic treatment
      units and units which specialize in treatment of
      violence.
    - Q. Now, Doctor, are you familiar with a patient currently at the Elmira Psychiatric Center by the name of Kevin Saunders?
    - A. Yes, I am.
      - Q. How are you familiar with Mr. Saunders?
- 23 A. I admitted Mr. Saunders to Elmira Psychiatric 24 Center on 4/4/03.
  - Q. So, were you there when he was brought in then by

## ambulance?

- A. I wasn't there when he was actually brought in by the ambulance, but I -- he was taken to the admission unit and I came to perform the admission evaluation.
- Q. Can you describe his appearance, how he -- what his behavior was when you saw him?
- A. Yes. His behavior, his appearance was -- he was very wild appearing.
- Q. What do you mean by that? What sort of a conclusion --
- A. Just like jumping around and moving around in the chair and looking at the walls and talking to the walls and then talking to me intermittently. He had illogical thought processes with flight ideas with an abundance of speech which was pressured. He was disoriented to time and place.
  - Q. Meaning he didn't know what day it was or --
- A. No.
  - Q. -- where he was?
  - A. No, he did not know where he was or the day, month or year. And when I asked him would he try to determine whether he was oriented to person, he responded in an irrelevant manner completely unrelated to the question. He also expressed delusions and he appeared to be talking to unseen -- someone who wasn't

in the room.

- Q. What was he saying?
- A. He was saying things like -- making a lot of incoherent statements. At one point he mentioned something about God and, and he would make real bizarre sounds while looking at the walls and looking up in the air. And sometimes he would talk to me directly.
- Q. All right. And as far as when you were, when you were speaking with him and talking with him, did you feel that he was under the influence of any drug?
- A. Yes. In fact, I asked him if that was possible, because his mental status evaluation findings were so flamboyant, which is typical of some sort of substance intoxication. And he told me that he had been smoking marijuana on a daily basis.
- Q. All right. And as far as his behavior then on the unit -- you admitted him I assume?
- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And then his behavior on the unit -- can you describe for us how he's been behaving since admission on April 4th.
  - A. Yes. On 4/6/03 he assaulted a staff member.
  - O. How did he do that?
- A. He grabbed her by the hair and swung her around.

  And he required stat IM medication and he began spitting

relative to myself.

Q.

24

25

1 and fighting with the staff. So, then he was placed in 2 four-point restraint and they had to elevate that to 3 five-point restraint. And he was also refusing to keep 4 his clothes on. He had to be redirected to keep his 5 clothes on and stay out of the dorm areas where females 6 He has not been taking any medication. 7 Q. What does he say about that? 8 Α. He says he does not need medication. 9 Does he believe he has a mental illness? Q. 10 Α. No, he does not believe he has a mental illness. 11 Q. And as far as his interaction with peers, how has 12 that been? From what I observed, he seems to interact with 13 Α. 14 peers. He interacts very well with one peer I know of 15 who smoked marijuana as much as he can, too. So, he 16 interacts with peers who he has -- they have common --17 things in common, who have things in common with him. 18 Now, as far as that was on the 6th when he became 0. 19 assaultive? 20 Α. Yes. And then since that time how would you describe 21 Ο. his, his behavior and his actions? 22 I would describe his behavior as avoidant 23 Α.

Why, why do you say that, Doctor?

A. Because when I speak with Mr. Saunders, initially he's very congenial and he will engage in some conversations, some superficial conversation with me.

And he will listen when I recommend medication. But when I try to make any sort of real assessment about how he's thinking, he avoids answering the question or he changes the subject entirely.

And he seems to be suspicious. Like one day I was sitting behind the nurses station reviewing some -something written, some written documents, and the
social worker was sitting beside me. And the phone was
there. And he wanted to speak with his lawyer. And he
would -- he insisted that I leave. So, I left, but he,
he -- generally he doesn't want me to know what he's
thinking.

- Q. All right. Now, as far as the transfer from Cayuga Medical Center to Elmira Psychiatric Center, was that significant to you when you are determining his treatment and care? Did anything unusual occur?
- A. Yes, it did. Something unusual did occur. He was having shake -- let me see exactly what it said. He was having shaking -- okay. During the ambulance ride he had periods of somnolence, meaning he was probably sedated or lethargic alternating with periods of screaming and violent shaking every 10 to 15 minutes.

- And they wrote on the ambulance report that this occurred on four separate occasions.
  - Q. Now, Doctor, you've had an opportunity to examine Mr. Saunders since his admission. Can you tell us, does -- do you have an opinion within a reasonable degree of medical certainty as to whether he suffers from a mental illness?
  - A. Yes, I do.

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

- Q. What is your opinion?
- A. My opinion is that he does suffer with mental illness.
  - Q. And what is his diagnosis as far as you're concerned now?
    - A. At this point I feel that he has marijuana dependence and bipolar disorder with psychotic features.
    - Q. Now, Doctor, I note that initially it was thought he had psychotic disorder not otherwise specified.
  - A. Yes.
  - O. Was that earlier in his admission?
- A. Yes. And what, what that -- that is sort of like
  a generic term. And in his instance I gave that
  diagnosis because his presentation on admission was so
  flamboyant.
  - Q. What do you mean by flamboyant? Is that a term of art?

- 1 Α. Just real exaggerated, movements are exaggerated, 2 he's moving around, you know, talking to the (indicating) -- talking to the walls. He's very -- he 3 was very flamboyant. It was -- it could have been a 4 5 substance induced psychosis. And in addition to the fact that he said he had been smoking marijuana every 6 7 day, I wanted to take into consideration that he may 8 have had a psychotic reaction to something he had used, 9 some drug. 10 Was he willing to give you screens like blood or Q. 11 urine?
- 12 A. No.

21

22

23

24

- Q. Was he willing to do that at Cayuga?
- 14 A. I didn't see that they --
- 15 | Q. That was done?
- 16 A. -- obtained one, they were able to obtain it.
- Q. All right. So, you modified you feel your diagnosis?
- 19 A. Considerably, yes.
  - Q. And why do you feel, why do you feel you have changed from the psychotic disorder NOS or you modified it to the bipolar?
    - A. Because his mental status has changed from being very flamboyant to having some of the symptoms -- some other symptoms of bipolar disorder.

Q. Such as?

A. We met with him this morning. He had a preoccupation with being -- not persecuted, but mistreated, which he would not let me really explore with him further. He had pressured speech, which was abundant, with flight of ideas. He had irritability and he became visibly angry at certain points during the interview.

And when asked why he ignited the trailer of his girlfriend, he began talking about <u>Silence of the Lambs</u> and how the character in the <u>Silence of the Lambs</u> was related to his girlfriend. I can't remember if he said she resembled him -- resembled the girl, Jodie Foster, in <u>Silence of the Lambs</u> or the lady -- but he started -- he was preoccupied with talking about that.

- Q. Now, Doctor, do you feel that Mr. Saunders is in need of inpatient care and treatment?
  - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And what do you feel inpatient care and treatment will do for Mr. Saunders?
- A. I think inpatient care and treatment will treat \*
  his mania.
- Q. And how do you propose to go about that given that he's refusing his medications?
  - A. I've applied for treatment, an order for

- 36 1 treatment over objection. 2 ο. You prepared the papers for that? 3 Α. Yes. 4 And what do you prescribe or recommend that he Q. 5 won't take? 6 Α. Right now I'm prescribing Olanzapine. What is that? 7 Q. 8 It is an antimanic agent and an antipsychotic. 9 And do you feel that that might address some of Q. 10 his symptoms? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. Now, Doctor, does he go to programs or does he 13 participate in any --14 Α. No. 15 What does he do? Q. 16 Α. He generally stays on the ward. 17 Okay. And as far as the incidents you've talked Q. 18 about where he was assaultive and following females 19 and -- has that behavior subsided to some degree? 20 It does appear to have subsided, yes. Α. 21 As far as disrobing, he is not doing that Q. 22 anymore?
- 23 A. That's correct.

25

Q. Now, Doctor, as far as his claim that this is a physical infection that affected his behavior, were you

- 37 1 able to attempt to rule that out or have you thought 2 about that? 3 Α. Yes. I spoke with the medical team about that. 4 And he -- there's no physical condition which would be 5 causing him to behave in that manner. 6 What did he have as far as his infection or this Q. 7 foot problem? 8 Α. He had a site of -- it was -- at the time I spoke with the medical team they described it as like almost 9 10 like as small as a pimple would be, it was a little 11 infection on his leg. 12 Q. And how were they treating it? 13 With antibiotics. 14 And did you confer with them regarding this claim Q. 15 about the physical ailments versus the mental illness? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Have you ruled that out, the physical? Q. 18 Yes. Α. 19 Q. Now, Doctor, as far as his medications are 20
- concerned, if he were compliant with medications, what would you expect to see?

23

24

25

A. I would expect to see better control with his anger and his irritability. And I would expect that he would, he would be able to interact with the mental health care system without being preoccupied with

1 persecutory thinking, that he's being mistreated or he's 2 being neglected or he's not getting any treatment at 3 all. And nobody knows what his real problems are. 4 I think that he would probably not repeat acts of 5 violence even if he did smoke marijuana. If he's taking 6 antimanic agents, maybe he wouldn't be as prone to run 7 around with his clothes off in the streets or 8 threatening toward other people or harming himself as was reported in the papers from Cayuga Medical. 9 10 Q. What do you mean by harming himself? 11 In the papers they said he was putting his hand 12 under -- or fingers under scalding hot water and he was 13 hitting himself and --14 MR. WENIG: Objection, hearsay, your Honor. 15 THE WITNESS: It's in the report. I have it 16 here. 17 THE COURT: Hold on. 18 MS. COCCHIOLA: Your Honor, let me ask. 19 THE COURT: Foundation. Is this something that you reviewed as part of 20 Q. 21 your --22 Yes. A. 23 Let me finish my question. -- evaluation of Q. Mr. Saunders medical -- or mental status? 24 This is part of the history that I reviewed 25 Α. Yes.

to find out why the patient was where -- at the facility 1 2 he was in before. 3 Q. Why he was admitted? 4 Α. Why he was at the facility he was in before he 5 came to my facility, to our facility. 6 And have you read that in reports that are Q. 7 generated by Cayuga Medical Center? 8 Α. Yes. MS. COCCHIOLA: I would ask whether she 9 10 could use it in her evaluation of the patient. 11 MR. WENIG: Your Honor, I submit there's no 12 foundation as to whether any statements were made 13 by an employee of Cayuga Medical Center. If they 14 were not made by an employee of Cayuga Medical 15 Center, there's no business document relationship 16 here and should not be admitted. MS. COCCHIOLA: Well, I am not offering --17 going to offer them. 18 THE COURT: His objection is to the source 19 of the information contained in the report. 20 MS. COCCHIOLA: I understand that. But my 21 22 point is I'm not asking to admit that, I'm asking whether she can use that in formulating her 23 opinion as to retention. 24

THE COURT: Okay. Objection is sustained.

## BY MS. COCCHIOLA:

- Q. Now, Doctor, as far as the retention is concerned, you indicated that you're hopeful that the medication might be effective. As far as if he were discharged right now, has he indicated he would be willing to comply with medication?
- A. No, he has not indicated a willingness to comply with medication.
- Q. And what's the interaction between the daily marijuana use and his mental illness?
- A. Well, marijuana is included in the category of hallucinogenic. And meaning that it can, it can cause one to become psychotic and hallucinate. And if he already has a mental illness and a predisposition towards becoming psychotic or manic, use -- it's a well-known fact that use of substances such as even alcohol sometimes can, can induce people to become more depressed.

So, they can induce disturbances of mood and they can cause people to become psychotic. But if you already have a preexisting mental disorder involving a disorder with mood and psychosis, then you can -- smoking marijuana places you at risk for having an exacerbation of those symptoms.

Q. Do you see that that's a possibility in this

A.

1	case?	
2	A.	Yes.
3	Q.	As far as how he presented to you on admission?
4	A.	Yes.
5	Q.	And he hasn't had any marijuana, we assume, since
6	admission?	
7	A.	I have never seen him smoke marijuana.
8	Q.	All right. As far as in the facility?
9	A.	That's correct.
LO	Q.	Now, as far as his ward restriction, is that
11	something that you have to determine, whether that's	
L2	approp	riate?
L3	A.	That's something which we determine as a team.
L <b>4</b>	Q.	All right. And is he currently on a ward
L5	restriction?	
L6	A.	Yes, he is.
L7	Q.	What does that mean?
18	A.	It means that he does not go to programs and in
19	the ha	ll, he remains on the ward.
20	Q.	And is that something that you feel is
21	approp	riate to continue at this point?
22	A.	Yes, because he remains untreated.
23	Q.	And should he agree to take medication, would
24	that b	e something that could be reconsidered?

It could be reconsidered when his mental status

1	shows some improvement.
2	Q. Now, Doctor, do you feel if he were released
3	right now he would pose a risk of danger to himself or
4	others?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And why do you say that?
7	A. Well, he admitted he smokes marijuana on a daily
8	basis. So, when he smokes marijuana, it's likely that
9	he will become psychotic and depressed or manic
10	MR. WENIG: Objection, this is pure
11	speculation.
12	MS. COCCHIOLA: This is
13	THE COURT: Overruled.
14	MS. COCCHIOLA: Okay. Thank you.
15	A. And in addition to that, when we asked him about
16	his reasons for igniting the trailer, he still shows
17	preoccupation with things from Silence of the Lambs,
18	which is what he was doing which he had at the time
19	that he ignited the trailer.
20	Q. And as far as any risk to others or himself, can
21	you tell us how he would be a risk.
22	A. Yes. He did admit that he one he also
23	stated that one of the reasons, one of the factors

involved was anger which he had toward his girlfriend

which caused him to ignite the trailer. And he

24

continues to have -- like I said earlier, he continues to have periods of irritability and visible anger which is out of proportion to the situation. And his thinking is illogical. Q. And what do you mean by that? Α. Okay. When we interviewed him today and we asked him what happened that caused him to come back to Elmira 

- him what happened that caused him to come back to Elmira Psychiatric Center or come back into the inpatient mental health setting, he said that his behavior wasn't right because of a medical problem. However, when he was admitted to Elmira Psychiatric Center, antibiotics were prescribed for the medical problem and he refused to take them. And when -- during attempts to ask him about this line of logic or illogic, he became angry and he changed the subject. And he refused to even allow the discussion to continue.
- Q. Now, as far as any screens or urine screens, is he allowing those up until now even?
  - A. He's been refusing them.
- Q. So, as far as any, any traces of any kind of drug or marijuana, you wouldn't be able to tell?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. Thank you.

MS. COCCHIOLA: I have nothing further of Dr. Roberts.

## 1 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. WENIG: Doctor, you testified that you interviewed Kevin 3 Q. 4 when he first came into the psychiatric center?

Yes.

- That was on April 1st? Q.
- 7 Α. Yes.

A.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

- 0. When were your subsequent interviews performed?
  - Α. I saw him April 4th, was a Friday, I saw him the following week but the notes -- either they're -- here they are. They are out of order. Okay. I saw him on 4 -- 4/7 I saw him. And I saw him on -- do you want the exact dates?
- 14 Q. Yes, please.
  - Okay. 4/7 and -- the notes are out of order, so I'm having a little.... 4/11, 4/10, 4/11 and -- 4/11 I saw him twice. 4/24, which is today. Yeah, okay.
    - So, the initial interview, about how long did you Q. spend with Kevin?
- 20 Let's see, the initial interview. Maybe about 40 21 minutes.
  - And on April 7th, how long was that interview? Q.
  - A. I don't know. I don't time myself.
    - Was it a few minutes or a lengthy one? Long or Q. short?

in any way?

21

22

23

24

- 1 Α. I don't remember. I don't time. I don't clock 2 watch. 3 Q. Did you sit down with him individually or just, 4 hi, how you doing? 5 Α. On 4/7? 6 Q. Yes. 7 Probably not. Because if he was assaultive on 4/6, I probably stood behind the nurses station while I 8 9 talked to him. 10 Q. And would that same thing have occurred on 11 April 10th and April 11th? 12 I don't remember. I don't write down where I 13 was. 14 And after that it was today, the 24th? Q. Yes. 15 Α. 16 So, between the 11th and the 24th, which is 17 almost two weeks, have you seen a marked difference in 18 his behavior? 19 Yes, I have. Α. Did he appear to be hallucinating or delusional 20
  - A. I didn't see any hallucinations, but he does -he does appear to have some persecutory -- I don't know
    if they were quite conclusions because he would not let
    me -- he will not let me answer -- ask him anything

- 46 extensive because he will respond by talking a lot or 1 2 changing the subject. So, whenever I tried to find out 3 his -- to try to uncover his line of thinking, he, he's 4 very guarded and evasive. 5 Q. And you feel the persecutory aspect of his 6 personality relates to the mental health system or to 7 something else? I think it definitely relates to his 8 A. 9 hospitalizations. 10 0. Okay. Which hospitalization? 11 This one. Α. 12 Q. This one? 13 Α. Yes. And his outpatient -- yeah, probably the
  - mental health system because he seems very suspicious about -- and hostile towards his outpatient providers as well.
  - I think that you mentioned something along the lines that he felt he wasn't getting any treatment at all?
  - Yes. He insists he's not getting any treatment, Α. even for his leg infection.
  - Q. Did you have a chance to review anything from the several years he was being seen by the mental health clinic up in Ithaca?
  - Is that Cayuga? Α.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. No. This is the --
- A. What's the name of the hospital? I don't know the area.
  - Q. I'm sorry. Mr. Saunders, as you're aware, is on a CPL, Criminal Procedure Law, Article 330?
    - A. Track three, yeah.
  - Q. Track three?
  - A. Right.

4

5

6

7

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 9 Q. Order of conditions, which is about to expire.
  10 So, for the past five years he's been seen by various
- 11 clinicians on a regular basis?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. You're aware of that?
- 14 A. Yes, I am.
  - Q. Were you aware of the fact that for several years he was seen up in Ithaca at the mental health clinic there?
    - A. I do recall seeing something about -- was it EAP in Cornell University? I don't know where clinics are in this area. I don't --
    - Q. What I am getting at, Doctor, are you familiar with any of those interviews or meetings or therapy sessions that Kevin had up in Ithaca over those last several years?
      - A. I've read about things since 1993, but whether

- 1 | they're in Ithaca, I couldn't tell you that.
- Q. So, do you know whether he is actually receiving treatment at these sessions or not?
  - A. I remember seeing something about psychotherapy at someplace in Cornell. Whether it's Ithaca, I don't know. I mean, I known Cornell is in Ithaca, but that's all I know.
  - Q. So, you don't know anything about the mental health clinic up there?
  - A. No, I don't.

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

23

24

- Q. And that he was being seen under the auspices of the Office of Mental Health by a mental health clinic for therapy?
  - A. As it relates to Ithaca itself, I don't know. I took no notation as to locations.
    - Q. So, you can't say either way whether Kevin was actually getting therapy or not at that time?
- 18 A. What time?
- 19 Q. The last five years.
- A. I assume. I mean, he was getting therapy if he's taking medication. That would be my assumption. But, again, it's only an assumption.
  - Q. Doctor, are you aware of any other admissions during the last five years to any other psychiatric facility?

Α.

No.

1 Α. The only thing that I'm aware of is something 2 here that says -- this form from Cayuga Medical that 3 says something about a 4/2/03 admission inpatient. I 4 don't know if you want me to look at it, but it says 5 something about inpatient at Cayuga Medical. 6 Q. So, you are not aware of any other admissions 7 during the last five years? 8 Let's see. Oh, yeah, he was at Rochester Forensic Center, forensic hospital in 1998. 9 10 familiar with that. 11 Did that pertain to the Criminal Procedure Law 12 evaluations conducted by the Office of Mental Health? 13 Α. Yes. 14 But since then, the last five years, do you know Q. 15 of any other admissions that he's had? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Thank you, Doctor. 18 Α. You're welcome. As you testified, it's been a clinical decision 19 20 of the team at the Psychiatric Center to put 21 Mr. Saunders on ward restriction? 22 Α. Yes. 23 So, he doesn't -- he isn't able to go to any of Q. the programs there? 24

1 ο. How does Kevin fill his days on the unit without 2 any programs? 3 When I see him, he's talking on the phone, he's 4 reading some files or documents or -- talking to his 5 lawyer or talking to the peer which I told you he seems б to share something in common with. 7 So, in other words, he's not getting any 8 substantive therapy by the staff during --9 Α. He's not what? 10 He's not getting any substantive therapy from the 11 staff at the center during his stay there? 12 No. He's high risk for violence. Α. But there's no program in place to give 13 Q. 14 Mr. Saunders, or anybody else on ward restriction, any 15 kind of ongoing therapy on the unit? 16 When someone has been violent and they're not 17 treated, generally they don't -- they are not allowed to 18 be around the other peers and in settings where they 19 could assault other people without the ward staff being 20 there to help. 21 Are there other peers on the unit with Q. Mr. Saunders? 22 I would imagine there are other violent patients 23 Α. who have violence in their history or who are not 24

complying. This is our procedure.

- Q. In the last couple of weeks has Mr. Saunders been violent towards any of the other patients?
  - A. Not that I have heard of, no. Oh, and another problem is that he will not let me evaluate his thinking and his mental status thoroughly to make a determination about his intent.
  - Q. In your discussions with Mr. Saunders did you discuss the diagnosis that you have for him, the bipolar disorder?
  - A. I tried.
  - Q. When you say you tried, how did you try? I'm sorry.
    - A. Well, when I tried to talk to him, he becomes very talkative, you can't interrupt him, he will tell you that -- he will start talking about Prozac and Trazodone and MCPP and how the doctors don't believe that his problem back then was really from MCPP and he really doesn't need any medication. And he just had a physical problem and he won't -- he will not let me talk about that.
    - Q. Let's see, regarding antibiotics, you testified he refused them when he was admitted?
      - A. He refused them -- yes.
      - Q. Did he eventually agree to take them?
  - A. Yes, he did.

1 Q. Has he stated that he thinks that you have helped 2 him since he was admitted? 3 Yes, he did. 4 Q. So, now he apparently has insight into the 5 infection and his need for the antibiotics? 6 Α. It appeared he did, yes. That may have been a 7 physical problem he was referring to because he said he 8 had a substance which was causing his behavior to change 9 and the infection was perhaps the source of the 10 substance that he feels he had, which was never 11 diagnosed. 12 Well, Doctor, is it possible that, say, if 13 somebody's physically ill, they are not sleeping and 14 they may have an infection, could that adversely affect 15 their thinking in some way? If what? 16 Α. 17 If somebody has a lack of sleep or has an 18 infection, could that lead to adverse behavior? 19 Not a little infection like that, no. Maybe if Α. 20 they had meningitis, which is an infection in the brain, 21 perhaps. But he did not have meningitis or head trauma. 22 Did you discuss the April 6 assault that --Q. between Kevin and one of the staff members there with 23

25 A. I tried to.

Kevin?

- April Roberts Cross 53 1 Q. And did you get any discourse on that? 2 Just the usual. Just the usual I don't remember 3 or, if I did it, it was because of a physical illness. 4 This is, this is all I'm getting. 5 Q. Now, you also testified that you suggest 6 Olanzapine antipsychotic is an appropriate medication 7 for Mr. Saunders at this time? 8 Α. That is a good first agent to try, yes, it is. 9 Q. And have you tried to talk to Mr. Saunders about 10 the medication and whether you wanted to prescribe it? 11 Α. Yes, I have. 12 Have you had any dialogue at all about that? Q. 13 A. I have tried. I have to try to get through the MCPP and the Prozac and the Trazodone and the medical 14 15 problem and the sepsis. 16
  - Q. Doctor, what would be the effect of the Olanzapine order or any other major antipsychotic on somebody that did not have a mental illness, if you gave it to you or me?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Well, I've never given it to anyone that didn't have a mental illness and I'm not aware of any studies that it was given to anyone without a mental illness.
- Q. So, you are not aware of any possible adverse effects it might have?
  - A. The only adverse effects I've ever read were from

1 people who had a mental illness and were taking it. 2 All right. Thank you, Doctor. 3 MR. WENIG: I have no further questions. 4 MS. COCCHIOLA: I have nothing further of 5 Dr. Roberts. 6 (Whereupon the witness was excused) 7 MS. COCCHIOLA: The State calls Janet Stevens. 8 9 JANET S T E V E N S, having been called as a 10 witness, being duly sworn, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 12 BY MS. COCCHIOLA: 13 Would you state your name for the record, please. Q. 14 Janet L. Stevens. A. 15 And would you tell us where you are employed. Q. 16 Α. Elmira Psychiatric Center, outpatient clinic. 17 How long have you been employed there? Ο. 18 Α. Three years. 19 And can you tell us what your duties and Q. 20 responsibilities are. 21 Actually, I'm a primary therapist for 30 22 individuals in the outpatient clinic and Mr. Saunders was one of them. 23 And can you tell us about your educational 24 Q. 25 background.

- 1 I have a masters degree from Marywood A. 2 University. I received that in 1995. 3 Q. And are you licensed by the state as far as --4 Α. Yes, certified social worker. 5 Q. Now, I want to ask you about your ongoing 6 relationship as far as a clinical basis with 7 Mr. Saunders. Did there come a time in May of 2002 when 8 he was transferred to the Elmira Psychiatric Center 9 outpatient clinic? 10 Α. Right, that's correct. He was transferred from 11 Tompkins County Mental Health Clinic due to 12 noncompliance with that program thinking maybe coming to 13 the outpatient clinic in Elmira he might be more compliant with our recommendations. 14 15 Q. Had he been terminated at Tompkins? 16 I think it was a transfer on their CPL status is 17 what I understood through the forensic bureau. 18 Q. Okay. And so did you see Mr. Saunders on a 19 regular basis? 20 Initially for once a week just to gather A. 21 information and, you know, get the background and just, 22 you know, formulate some goals for him while he's at the
  - Q. And as far as psychiatrist there --

24

25

monthly basis.

clinic. And since then I've been seeing him on a

A. Is Dr. Belsare.

- Q. B-E-L-S-A-R-E. And did that doctor see

  Mr. Saunders on a regular basis?
  - A. Initially she was to see him on a monthly basis, okay. She saw him in May, I think it was the 23rd, for an extensive period of time. I did sit in periodic -- or a brief time during that session. He -- she prescribed medication, which he was unwilling. She saw him again the next month, decided that, you know, he was not compliant with recommendations. So, she decided to see him on a quarterly basis just as a monitoring situation.
  - Q. Now, did you talk to Mr. Saunders about taking medication?
  - A. Yes. And so did Dr. Belsare. He had no interest, refused. She had given him a prescription for Trileptal. When he came in to session, I asked him if he had had it filled. He said no. And he has not had it filled since. And each time I would ask him if he, you know, was interested in having it filled or whatever. And he wouldn't do that, so....
  - Q. Now, as far as prior to that time, you've reviewed the records from Tompkins County?
  - A. Briefly, yes. Yeah. We had -- you know, it's extensive material from five and six years. And during

the time, from what I can see from Tompkins County, it was the same situation where he was seeing Linda Riley for the majority of the time.

- Q. She's a social worker?
- A. Right, social worker and CSW. And from what I gathered, it was very similar to what he would present with me. He would come to the appointments on a regular basis, very compliant with keeping his appointments, but during sessions just focused on personal issues, his computer business or legalization of marijuana. That was a real focus coming to my office. And he would provide material for me each session.
  - Q. Now, they prescribed Zyprexa at some point there?
- A. That I don't know for sure. I know there was medication prescribed and I don't have that here.
- Q. Okay.
- A. But that was again refused.
- Q. Now, did he admit to you that he was smoking marijuana or ingesting marijuana daily?
- A. On an -- initially he said daily and then he would say on a regular basis. Initially he would say he would smoke a part of a joint a day he would say and then it was more vague as time went on.
- Q. Now, as far as the other conditions of his order of conditions, is that something that you were familiar

1 | with?

- A. Mm-mm. Right. Our concern was the treatment recommendations, which was the, you know, the clinical appointments, the medication and urine drug screens, which he refused. And --
  - Q. You would ask him to submit --
- A. Each session. Each session before he would leave

  I would ask him if he had -- would be willing to do a

  urine drug screen and he refused.
- Q. What would he say?
- A. He would just say no and kind of smile and that was it, you know, nothing more than that.
- Q. He had been -- do you know if he had been positive in the past?
- A. I believe twice when he was in Tompkins County it was recorded that he had been positive. '99 and maybe 2000. I'm not quite sure. But since then I believe he had refused there, too, on an ongoing basis.
  - Q. You mean refused to give a screen?
- A. Right. Right.
  - Q. Now, as far as his order of conditions, is he required to do that?
  - A. It's based on what the treatment recommendations are. And from what I read here and seen, yes, that's a requirement that we are to -- you know, if we see -- the

- treatment recommendation, if it is to have medications,

  clinical appointments and urine drug screens, alcohol

  and drug screens, yes, it's one of the conditions

  listed.
  - Q. And in addition refrain from ingesting --
- 6 A. Right.

- Q. -- items such as marijuana?
- 8 A. Right.
  - Q. Now, when you were seeing him on a, on a monthly basis, can you tell us, did there come a time when you recommended that his conditions be extended, made a recommendation of the Court?
  - A. It was in March. We -- he had been noncompliant with our recommendations; however, he was in compliance for his appointments. We felt that because of that, that we needed to monitor him because we -- for research and some anger and the anger outbursts and we were concerned about that. And one of the recommendations from the, the forensic committee or -- at that forensic committee was to continue to meet with him to just kind of observe him for any indication of the changes in behavior.
  - Q. Did you notice any changes when you saw him in the middle of March?
    - A. I saw him after the, the forensic meeting. He

1	was quite upset. I reviewed what was recommended. He	
2	became more anxious, very pressured in speech and, and	
3	angry with what was going on. He felt it wasn't, you	
4	know, necessary, that it should have been discontinued	
5	at that point.	
6	Q. Does he feel he has a mental illness?	
7	A. No. Absolutely not. Totally denies it.	
8	Q. Thank you.	
9	MS. COCCHIOLA: I have nothing further of	
10	Ms. Stevens.	
11	MR. WENIG: Excuse me, your Honor.	
12	(Whereupon a discussion was held off the	
13	record)	
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
15	BY MR. WENIG:	
16	Q. Ms. Stevens, how long have you been dealing	
17	directly with Mr. Saunders?	
18	A. Since May of 2002.	
19	Q. And how frequently have you been able to meet	
20	with him?	
21	A. On a monthly basis.	
22	Q. And that's required under the order of	
23	conditions?	
24	A. It's required whatever the treatment team	
25	recommended, okay. Which was monthly sessions. It	

wasn't so much a therapeutic session as it was a
monitoring session because he's been noncompliant with
recommendations.

Q. Which recommendations, I'm sorry? Which

- Q. Which recommendations, I'm sorry? Which recommendations?
- A. The medication and the -- you know, the urine drug screen recommendations. And sessions were -- as mentioned before, were specifically focused on his personal concerns and denying mental health issues. So, there was no progress, no way to make progress without, you know, cooperation.
- Q. So, you testified that you and the team apparently were concerned about angry outbursts?
- A. Mm-mm. His history and.... He was very, very pressured when he would come to session. Appeared very anxious. He would keep it in control to a point and halfway through the session he would be perspiring profusely, appeared much more anxious if anything was brought up about the past incident that caused the CPL status. If that was not brought up, he could keep it under control in session. So that's.... That's where it was at most of the time with the sessions.
- Q. Did you ever inquire as to why he was so upset about that?
  - A. We've -- we talked. We went back there a couple

- 62 of different times during sessions and it was -- the 1 2 issue with the not -- the belief or misbelief that there 3 could have possibly been an interaction with the medications, that we, you know, as professionals didn't 4 5 understand that that was possible it could have caused 6 his psychotic state. 7 Okay. Did you ever discuss with Kevin his 8 attempts to have that possibility reviewed with any of his clinicians? 9 10 He's mentioned, yes, that throughout his history Α. 11 he has talked with other clinical staff, whether it's in 12 a therapy session or, or other evaluation purposes, that 13 that could be -- you know, should be investigated. 14 Q. To your knowledge, has anybody who's been 15 treating Kevin, any clinicians, actually done that?

  - I don't know offhand without looking, you know, further. He had extensive work while he was at Rochester Psychiatric Center. I know that from history.
    - Ο. That was five years ago?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Yeah. Whatever was recommended for him, but it was medical or psychiatric.
- Q. Do you know if he received any medications while he was at the psychiatric center up in Rochester?
  - That I couldn't tell you. A.
  - And you testified that you weren't sure whether Q.

- he was prescribed any medications when he was being seen
  by the mental health clinic in Ithaca?
  - A. I believe he was. I probably can look through here, if you want me to, and see.
    - Q. But you don't recall specifically?
- A. Exactly, no. No. I mean, I've seen him since

  May of 2002 and our focus was trying to provide

  treatment in our clinic the best we knew how.
  - Q. You also testified that it was a decision of OMH, Office of Mental Health, to have Mr. Saunders come down here to Elmira after being seen in Ithaca for several years, is that correct?
- 13 A. Mm-mm.

4

5

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

- Q. I'm sorry, yes?
- A. It was the forensic bureau I believe made the recommendation. I would have to check further. I mean, that may be, you know, a misinterpretation, but the recommendation was that because of his noncompliance, felt that he may be better served in our outpatient clinic.
  - Q. Okay. And was that decision recently reversed?
- 22 A. No. Not that I know of.
- 23 Q. No?
  - A. We're waiting the final recommendation on the recommendation from us for a continuation of the CPL

1 | status.

- Q. Okay. And was it your recommendation that -- the team's recommendation at the last meeting to have

  Mr. Saunders transferred back to the Tompkins County

  Mental Health Clinic for follow-up services?
  - A. We thought for his, for his convenience, that was the reason for that. He makes the trip down, he's been very faithful about coming to his appointments. And during the winter months it has been very difficult for him. So, the idea was to help him, make it more convenient for him.
  - Q. Did you get a chance to review any of Linda Riley's therapy sessions?
  - A. Not, not, not to that extent. I think that the idea, with what I could read from reviewing her material and extensive information from five and six years ago, that the general consensus with the noncompliance, okay, and that's the....
  - Q. You're aware that during the course of the last five years Mr. Saunders has been running his own business up in Trumansburg?
    - A. Mm-mm.
    - Q. That's a computer business?
- 24 A. Yes.
  - Q. And he runs that all by himself?

1	A.	According to what he tells me, yes. Mm-mm.
2	Q.	All right. Thank you.
3		MR. WENIG: I have no other questions.
4		MS. COCCHIOLA: I have nothing further.
5		Thank you.
6		(Whereupon the witness was excused)
7		MS. COCCHIOLA: The State would rest, Judge.
8	1	MR. WENIG: Your Honor, may I ask for a
9		break? My client needs to use the rest room.
10		THE COURT: Do you intend to introduce
11		evidence?
12		MR. WENIG: Yes, your Honor.
L3		THE COURT: How much?
L4		MR. WENIG: I suspect Mr. Saunders is going
L5		to testify at length.
L6		THE COURT: What's at length?
L7		MR. WENIG: I'm sorry?
L8		THE COURT: What's at length?
L9		MR. WENIG: Oh, I'd say at least a half an
20		hour to 45 minutes.
21		THE COURT: Matter is recessed until 3:30
22		this afternoon.
23		(Whereupon the proceedings were recessed for
24		other unrelated proceedings)
25		THE COURT: Mr. Wenig.

1 MR. WENIG: Thank you, Judge. Your Honor, Mr. Saunders would like to testify. 2 S A U N D E R S, having been called as a 3 KEVIN 4 witness, being duly sworn, testified as follows: 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. WENIG: 7 Q. Would you state your name for the record. 8 Α. Kevin Eric Saunders. 9 Mr. Saunders, how old are you? Q. 10 Α. Forty-six. And where do you reside? 11 Q. 12 1668 Trumansburg Road, Ithaca, New York. Α. 13 Q. And how long have you lived there? 14 About nine years. Α. 15 Do you own the property? Q. 16 Yes, I do. Α. 17 Q. And what's -- how are you employed? 18 Well, it's a little complicated because I formed Α. 19 a corporation. I own the corporation. So, technically 20 I'm the president of the corporation as well as the sole 21 stockholder. Basically I'm self-employed, but it's more 22 complicated. And what's the nature of your business? 23 Q. I develop, distribute and support McIntosh 24

Telecommunications Software. It's a terminal emulation

67 1 package which has extensive features. It's called 2 DataComet, D-A-T-A-C-O-M-E-T. There are other variants 3 called DataComet Secure and DataComet Secure VX which 4 adds security features and support for McIntosh OS-10. 5 Q. Are these software programs that you design personally? 6 7 Yes, they are. A. Is anybody else in your business besides you? 8 Q. As a matter of fact, now there are I believe two 9 Α. 10 other vendors that are selling software for McIntosh 11 OS-10 in my area, in this area here. So, the field has 12 narrowed considerably. 13 But those aren't people who are employed by you? Q. No, they are not. 14 A. 15 So, you are basically the whole business? Q. 16 Α. I thought you were asking about competitors. 17 I'm sorry, forgive me. Q. 18 Okay. Α. 19 Q. So, there's nobody else on the staff, so to 20 speak? 21 No, there is not. I am the sole employee. Α. 22 And what's your educational background? Q. I have a bachelor's degree from the University of 23 Α.

Texas at Austin, which I received in 1977 in economics

and philosophy. I also was inducted into Phi Beta

24

- 1 Kappa. The degree was with high honors.
  - Q. And what year did you receive that degree?
- 3 A. 1977.

4

5

6

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And how long have you been in the Ithaca area?
  - A. Oh, since 1979. So, that makes it 23, 24 years.
- Q. What led you to moving up to Ithaca?
- A. I entered graduate school at Cornell University
  in economics in 1979.
  - Q. Did you complete the degree program?
- 10 A. I did not.
- Q. And briefly explain to the Court your job opportunities after you left graduate school.
  - A. Well, I decided to stay in the Ithaca area. Soon after I came to Ithaca, after I left graduate school, again, in late 1980, I met my future wife, Ann Marie Whelan, who is a student in swail (phonetic) microbiology at Cornell University. She's a graduate student. And so this influenced me to stay in Ithaca. She was pursuing her masters and completed it eventually in 1984.
    - Q. And where were you employed subsequent to 1980?
  - A. Well, first off, I worked in several jobs. I worked as a pizza delivery person, I worked as a bookkeeper in a couple of places. Jobs were difficult to find in Ithaca. So, basically underskilled

1 employment.

- Q. And when did you get involved in the computer programming?
- A. Well, actually, I first became involved when I was a student at the University of Texas. I was employed in 1978 as a computer programmer for the University of Arkansas in Little Rock, where I worked for a year. Then after I left graduate school, I decided that the best employment opportunity for me and the best use of my talents would be in computer programming. And so I studied various text on computer programming and kept up with the field.

And eventually in 1986, after a lot of involvement with user groups on the Cornell campus and other activities, got a job at Cornell University in network programming, developing the product which I now am selling.

- Q. And how long did you work for Cornell?
- A. About eight years.
- Q. And when did you start your own business?
- A. It was 1994. I had left Cornell, worked briefly for another corporation up in Rochester. And then took the opportunity to get a license from Cornell University for the software that I developed, which made it possible for me to further develop and re-sell the

- software paying a 7.5 percent license fee to the Cornell
  Research Foundation on my gross sales.
  - Q. And has this job been enough to support you?
  - A. Yes, it has.

4

12

24

- Q. Well, the testimony with Dr. Povinelli and
  Dr. Roberts went back to the 1997 incident that led to
  your Criminal Procedure Law status. What month did that
  occur in?
- 9 A. February. The very beginning of February.

  10 However, I was ill from, from the beginning of January

  11 1997.
  - Q. And the incident occurred in February of 1997?
- 13 A. It was February 6, 1997.
- Q. Okay. And were you -- prior to the incident,
  were you taking any medication?
- 16 A. Yes, I was.
- 17 Q. What kind of medication were you taking?
- A. Prozac, briefly for a week at the beginning -from January 4th to January 11th I was taking

  Trazodone. And then because I had a bad reaction to the
  Trazodone, I was switched over to Vistaril to help me
  sleep. That was the idea. And the Vistaril
- 23 prescription was on the 16th of January.
  - Q. So, these medications were under the supervision of the doctor?

proceedings.

25

1 Dr. Hamlish (phonetic) of Family & Children A. 2 Service in Ithaca. 3 Q. And why did you feel you initially needed the 4 Prozac? 5 Α. Well, I had suffered from depression at times. б was looking for something which might possibly work 7 better for me than marijuana. I was open to the suggestion that Prozac might be a drug that would work 8 well for me. 9 10 And after the incident in February of 1997, what Q. 11 occurred? Where did you go after the incident, what 12 happened? After? 13 Α. 14 After. Q. 15 Well, I went to jail. I went straight to jail. Α. I was in jail for five weeks. Five-and-a-half weeks. 16 17 Excuse me, that was Tompkins County? Q. 18 Α. Tompkins County, yes. And what happened after that? 19 Q. 20 I was released on bail which my mother, I'm very Α. 21 grateful, provided for me in the amount of \$50,000. And 22 at that point I was released from jail and was able to 23 return to my business. And able to keep it going. And able to deal more effectively with the court 24

1 Q. And were you being supervised by any clinicians 2 at this point? 3 Α. No, I was not. 4 Q. Were you taking any medication at this point? 5 Well, actually, in fact, I was taking Α. 6 Prozac. 7 Q. You are still taking Prozac at this time? 8 Yes, I was. Α. 9 Q. And how long did you continue with the Prozac? 10 Through June. Α. 11 Through 1997? Q. 12 A. Yes. 13 And in the course of the criminal proceedings, Q. 14 what was the next step in the process? 15 Well, soon after I was out on bail, we filed a Α. 16 petition for -- to enter a plea of not responsible by 17 reason of mental disease or defect. I underwent a 18 number of examinations, a couple of the two 730 examinations in which I was both found able to stand 19 20 trial, and then started some expert evaluations including an expert evaluation for the prosecution by 21 22 Dr. Povinelli. This was not -- this was not an 23 evaluation for the Court itself, it was an evaluation

for the prosecution in this case.

And what happened after that?

24

25

Q.

25

Yes.

Α.

1 Well, there were -- actually, there was a Α. 2 previous examination by Dr. Bezirganian that was May 3 The date stands out for me because it's my 4 birthday. Then there was another expert evaluation for the defense by Dr. Les Wing (phonetic), who was a 5 6 professor at Syracuse University. 7 And so this is continuing through 1997? ٥. 8 Α. Well, this is up to about June 1997. And July 9 1997 the District Attorney's Office decided to accept 10 the plea of not responsible. And then Judge Barrett for 11 the People of New York State determined that this was in 12 the best interests of the People. So, he accepted the filing of this plea so we did not have to pursue a 13 14 courtroom trial. 15 And there was discussions with Dr. Povinelli and Q. 16 Dr. Roberts about you going to Rochester at some point? 17 Α. No, there was not. Dr. Povinelli and Dr. Roberts were nowhere near the scene in 1997. 18 19 Q. No, I was referring to their testimony today. No, they were suggesting that I go to Rochester, 20 Α. 21 yes. 22 Q. No, I meant -- in 1997 was there a point where 23 you went to Rochester Psychiatric Center?

Well, in 1997, in fact, when the plea was

accepted, District Attorney -- well, Assistant District

Attorney Gary Surdell, who had been arguing for the prosecution, made a kind of about face and suddenly was arguing that I was a dangerously mentally ill person rather than a guilty person and I should be shipped immediately to Rochester for -- to be in a secure facility. And Judge Barrett --

MS. COCCHIOLA: I am going to object as to this. I think at this point this is hearsay and I don't think -- see how it is relevant at this point.

THE COURT: I am going to give him some latitude to lay his background. Go ahead.

A. Judge Barrett, Judge Barrett overruled this motion by the District Attorney and decided that we should have an outpatient examination. And at that time the OMH was presented with the findings of the case and managed sometime in October to actually schedule an outpatient interview. So, it took some -- let's see, August, September and some time into October, over two months for the OMH to arrange for an outpatient interview.

I was still -- I, I was still not seeing any therapist. I had realized at the time that I was in prison that my previous therapist had lied to me about my diagnoses, she had concealed my diagnoses from me.

- Q. And so at what point did you enter Rochester
  Psychiatric Center for an evaluation?

  A. Well, after conducting a couple of outpatient
  - A. Well, after conducting a couple of outpatient interviews, they decided that they needed to have me on an inpatient basis. So, they asked for an order for an inpatient examination. And this is required by law if they request it. So, Judge Barrett ordered the examination. It was deferred until the beginning of February 1998. That initial examination was extended for another month, so it turned out to be a two-month examination.
  - Q. Did you take any medication while you were a patient at Rochester?
  - A. I did not.
    - Q. Was any medication offered to you?
- 16 A. No.

- Q. So, when you got out of Rochester Psychiatric Center, how were things structured?
  - A. Well, I waited. The case was referred to the Office of Mental Health. There was to be a determination on the order of conditions that I was supposed to be under. It took about a month or a month-and-a-half for the Office of Mental Health to determine that the case should be managed out of Elmira rather than Binghamton. There was an issue of where the

- catchment area was. So, it was determined eventually
  that I should be showing up for therapy with Linda Riley
  at Tompkins County Mental Health, which I did.
  - Q. And how long did you see Ms. Riley for?
  - A. Four years.

б

- Q. And how often did you see her?
- A. Initially frequently. Initially I was going in I think a couple of times a week. And then we had less frequent meetings. I think as Ms. Riley determined that I was safe, that I was functioning relatively well and as we established rapport.
- Q. Did you see any psychiatrists during those four years?
  - A. Yes, I did.

meetings with Dr. Brink.

- Q. And how often did you get to see a psychiatrist?
- A. Well, at Tompkins County Mental Health I regularly saw a couple of psychiatrists. Occasionally I saw a Dr. Annette Brink, Tompkins County Mental Health. At one point she did, in fact, suggest that I try Depakote, but I decided that would not be a good medication for me. She also did not provide me with a clear diagnosis at any time. I had only I believe three
- Q. And would you see a psychiatrist during those four years every once in a while or a regular basis?

Yes, I did.

Why was that?

Α.

Q.

24

25

1 Α. Well, I retained a private psychiatrist, 2 Dr. Ronald Leifer of Ithaca, New York. And I saw him 3 for some 22 sessions over the period from 1998, late 4 1998 -- actually, that's about right, up to early 2000. 5 I saw him about every three weeks. 6 And at whose expense were you --Q. 7 Α. My own expense. Were you being charged for the meetings at the 8 Q. mental health clinic? 9 10 A. Yes, I was. And regarding any drug or alcohol testing, was 11 12 that brought up at any time? 13 A. Yes. I was required to submit urine screens for 14 Tompkins County Mental Health. 15 And did you, in fact, submit those? Q. 16 I did. I did. Α. 17 Q. And for how long did you submit those screens? Several years. 18 Α. And whose expense was that? 19 Q. At my expense. They cost me over \$35 per urine 20 Α. sample. My total cost as I estimate it was about \$700. 21 And did there come a time when you stopped 22 Q. providing urine tests at their request? 23

A. That was when around June 2000 I finally -- I had been researching possible causes of what had caused my illness in January 1997 and February 1997. I had been researching this from the time that it happened. It was terrifying, I was psychotic, I was experiencing auditory hallucinations. This had never happened to me before.

And so I was interested in finding any cause in treating it, whether it was some kind of indigenous illness or anything else. I believed at the time in 1997 that I was suffering from a neurological disorder because of the extreme symptoms that I experienced including loss of sensation in my arms and legs during sleep, urinary retention -- the list of symptoms goes on and on. They included also complete absence of hunger. Confusion, delirium eventually. And so I wanted to figure out what had happened so I could prevent it from happening again.

- Q. So, did you draw any conclusion from your research?
- A. And in June 2000 I finally came across crucial documentation on Trazodone. Now, I had been administered Prozac, Trazodone and Vistaril. As it turns out, Trazodone not only causes numbness in the extremities or can cause numbness in the extremities, which explained the numbness, Prozac can also cause

numbness in the extremities all on its own. There is a by-product of Trazodone called MCPP, meta-chlorphenylpiperazine.

THE WITNESS: Would you like me to spell that? Okay.

A. At any rate, this is -- this drug is used for testing anxiolytic drugs, tranquilizers. It's used to induce anxiety in experimental subjects and then they administer the tranquilizer to find how effective a tranquilizer is. Trazodone produces this by-product MCPP. MCPP is used in this drug testing. They usually administer it in doses of about 30 milligrams orally.

Now, that is capable of inducing panic attacks in one in three of the subjects. That's the -- that's according to the research that I have found. Moreover, MCPP has hallucinogenic properties. And, moreover, Prozac -- well, MCPP is metabolized by the P4502D6 enzyme. Prozac blocks metabolism on the P4502D6 enzyme. It is known as a very powerful agent for doing this.

It's one of the problems with Prozac as an antidepressant, that it causes difficulties when other medications are administered concurrently. There are warnings, there are clear warnings on the Prozac monograph stating that there are these interactions,

they are very clear. And state you must use caution when prescribing drugs, they are metabolated on P4502D6.

Now, Trazodone is metabolized by a different enzyme, which is P4503A4. That is somewhat blocked by the by-product of Trazodone, another by-product -- not of Trazodone, pardon me, but Prozac, which is the -- let me think. Prozac is fluoxetine, the other by-product of fluoxetine is -- I can't recall. But it's also a powerful blocker of these enzymatic interactions.

So, essentially MCPP may accumulate in the system as a result of concurrent administration of Prozac. And realizing this, it explained the symptoms I had experienced. MCPP can cause -- the most striking thing to me is it is -- it can cause absence of hunger in rats who are administered this drug. It's a -- what is it called? It's a -- well, it slips my mind at the moment.

- Q. You accumulated all this information through your research?
  - A. Yes, correct.
- Q. And did you present this at any time to the clinicians who were supervising your case?
- A. Yes, I did. I submitted a package both to you,
  Richard Wenig, and to Linda Riley and to Donna Faber of
  the Elmira Psychiatric Center in June 2000 with a letter

detailing my understanding of what had occurred, a letter which also noted very numerous and troubling errors that had cropped up in my history as reported by -- as reported in the reports provided by the Rochester regional forensic unit.

I mailed not just this letter, but also a rather complete list of the abstracts supporting the argument, which came to some 50 pages. The abstracts that I had selected from Med Line, the US information service on medical, all kinds of medical publications that's very easy to search. It's a wonderful resource.

- Q. Have you ever received any feedback on information you provided to your clinicians?
  - A. No, I have never received any feedback.
- Q. Nothing from your treating psychiatrist at the mental health clinic in Ithaca?
- 17 | A. No.
  - Q. Nothing from the Office of Mental Health clinicians?
- 20 A. No.
  - Q. These include the clinicians that are supervising your case here in Elmira?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. And it would also --
      - A. They are included and they have never responded

with any kind of rational comment or, indeed, any comment on what I am told today, on what I hear today is a -- was that was a misbelief. That's what Janet Stevens said on the stand, that I had a misbelief. She never, however, stated to me that I was incorrect. I would make these arguments and the arguments fell upon deaf ears. And I never received any rational response.

Q. Has anybody told you that this might be a delusion on your part?

- A. I never heard that until I saw the documentation that Dr. Roberts has provided for the retention hearing, for the -- not the retention hearing, but the recommitment hearing which is to take place on Monday in Tompkins County Court in which she claims that I have delusional beliefs about the metabolism of medications. She has never attempted to argue me out of these beliefs or give me any rational arguments, counter-arguments. I provided extensive documentation based on sound science, reputable scientific terms.
- Q. Nobody has ever tried to sit down with you and discuss or debate or dissuade you from these beliefs?
  - A. Not once.
- Q. So, you feel this is a plausible explanation as to what happened to you back in 1997?
  - A. Yes, I do.

- Q. And prior to the incident with the trailer that led to your arrest, was there a period of a couple of days or a couple of weeks where you felt, you felt yourself decompensating in any way?
- A. I was ill for the whole month of January. Within three days of when I started the Trazodone I was profoundly out of it. And I knew I was unwell. On the 11th of January, 1997, I went down to the emergency room at Cayuga Medical Center complaining of my physical symptoms. And they were dismissed.
- Q. And after the incident when you were placed in jail, about how long do you -- how long was it before you felt, yourself, you were clearing mentally?
- A. Several days. During those days initially when I was in jail. I was held for I believe ten days in the holding cell. I guess I was there for observation. And I was not being given Prozac during that time. They resumed giving me Prozac once they transferred me to one of the dormitory units.

And after several days of rather florid psychosis

-- I was, I was experiencing auditory hallucinations,
believing I was engaging in telepathic conversations
with Hannibal Lecter -- who I do not resemble in any
way, by the way, and I've never said I do. Then I
started to realize that these were hallucinations.

1 Q. And did you ever experience that kind of behavior 2 or episode again? 3 Α. No. 4 Not since that time? Q. 5 Α. No. So, in the past five years you've been seeing 6 Q. 7 your clinicians regularly under the Criminal Procedure Law ordered conditions? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 Q. You have been going to at least the monthly meetings with your social worker or your psychiatrist? 11 12 Yes. Α. When you were transferred from Ithaca to here 13 Q. about a year ago, to Elmira. 14 15 A. Mm-mm. Do you recall when Dr. Belsare started to talk 16 about prescribing medications to you? 17 18 Α. On our second meeting. It was, in fact, the 19 meeting after the first meeting at which I had described 20 the very thing I just talked about, the adverse interaction which had caused me to be so ill. And then 21 I came in on the second meeting and she said, I want you 22 to take this prescription. And she laid a prescription 23

form in front of me for Trileptal, something which no

other doctor had done before.

24

- Q. Do you know what kind of medication Trileptal is?

  A. It's an anti-epileptic.
  - Q. Did the doctor -- did Dr. Belsare discuss with you why she wanted you to take the medication?
  - A. Yes, she did. She thought I would be "more successful" if I took this medication. She claimed that I "could not talk in a straight line."
  - Q. Was that the only medication that was suggested for you in the last year?
  - A. Not until -- until recently, yes. At a recent meeting the -- on March 14th, which was described by Dr. Povinelli as a hearing when, in fact, I was told it was a treatment team meeting. The first time I had ever met my treatment team in the entire five years, my order of conditions, Dr. Belsare at the end of the meeting described me as suffering from paranoid schizophrenia due to a paranoid refusal of medication. And then said something that would be good for that would be Risperidone. She did not actually make a prescription at that time.
  - Q. Is that the first you heard of that diagnosis from Dr. Belsare?
  - A. That's the first diagnosis of paranoid schizophrenia for me I have ever heard at any time.
    - Q. Was that also the first time that Dr. Belsare

Ι

1 prescribed an antipsychotic for you? 2 Α. That was the first time, yes. 3 I should say suggested, it wasn't prescribed. Q. 4 Α. That was the first time she suggested it, yes. 5 And that was roughly a month or so ago? Q. 6 Α. Yes. 7 So, subsequent to that meeting, you obviously 8 developed some adverse behavior which resulted in your 9 admission to the Elmira Psychiatric Center? 10 A. And what? 11 Q. Led to your admission here at the Elmira 12 Psychiatric Center. I was under an immense amount of stress. 13 Α. expected a meeting at which we would discuss the 14 15 After all, that was the first meeting I had 16 ever had with my treatment team. Instead, I was presented with a list of accusations, allegations of 17 criminal behavior which I had never engaged in. 18 19 Supposedly I -- these are the false beliefs I had attempted to correct in my letter in June 2000. 20 They are false beliefs which I attempted to 21 22

23

24

25

correct by having my former wife, Ann Marie Whelan, submit a letter, which was sent to you and then was shared with the folks at the Elmira Psychiatric Center outpatient unit including Janet Stevens. You should

have read that letter. In which she states that I am not a violent person. She lived with me for 14 years.

Now, after this meeting at which it was stated I guess there are -- that kind of allegation was repeated again. And it's terribly upsetting to me to try to correct the record repeatedly and to repeatedly have the record continue to accumulate false allegations, which is what happened just a few weeks -- a couple of weeks ago with another meeting with my treatment team here at Elmira Psychiatric Center inpatient. These allegations were extremely upsetting to me. After the meeting on March 14th, I lost a complete nights of sleep. I could not sleep that night. I was so distressed. I felt that it was emotional abuse.

- Q. So, for the next two weeks what exactly happened in terms of your behavior?
- A. Well, primarily the next day, March 15th, I had planned to complete my corporate income taxes. It is a small corporation, I know how to do the bookkeeping pretty well. It is not a huge job. However, unfortunately, I was too upset to follow through with that. So, instead, I filed an extension for both my New York State and federal corporate taxes. Now, in a state upset like that, I can't work very well. It is difficult for me to concentrate on computer programming

when I'm faced with bizarre allegations of misconduct coming from people who can't seem to respond to my community.

I also provided a letter from my housemate, Alice H. Richardson, describing my behavior, talking about my behavior. An essentially supportive letter. This doesn't seem to have any impact, this doesn't seem to have any impact and it doesn't seem to have any impact on their thinking. So, basically I just -- when I'm distressed like that, I can't concentrate on computer programming very well.

It's actually very dangerous to try to do the kind of applied logic, reasoning, systems design when you're upset. I have always in my life when I'm in that kind of state, you know, which happens once in a while, try not to do real serious programming work because there's too much danger of a single slip. And a failure in my program could wind up not just financially affecting my customers, it could wind up causing people to die. My software is used in many, many places including health centers. Many physicians use my software.

Q. So, focusing on the last couple of weeks of March of this year, did you feel yourself getting worse in terms of your behavior?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 A. No. No. Not at all. It was not until April the 2nd or April the 3rd that this problem occurred. I 2 3 really can't tell precisely what time I started to be 4 out of it. However, I mean, it is true, it does make me 5 feel that I am being persecuted when I attempt 6 repeatedly to try to correct an incorrect record and it 7 just doesn't happen. And I end up a couple weeks ago going into a meeting and being accused of a history of 8 extreme violence towards women, which is libelous. So, 9 10 it does make me feel -- it makes me feel puzzled, it 11 makes me feel misunderstood certainly. Because no one in my environment, no one in my family ever used this 12 13 kind of stuff including my former wife.

So, focusing back on April 2nd or 3rd of this month.

- Q. What was happening with your behavior?
- A. Well, I had been doing just fine handling work and stuff. I mean, processing orders doesn't require a super level of logic. Handling the telephone calls that I get. I was working on, on some -- after a couple of days after that incident, March 14th, I was getting back into the swing of things and I was doing programming. I had some -- I had been working on getting out a new version of DataComet Secure for McIntosh Classic OS, which has been interrupted by this commitment. Also

working on other enhancements to the program which have been requested by users where there have been a couple of bugs. So, I was doing okay up until then.

I think that really when I started getting ill I did go out naked outside on my property. My property is more than 800 feet away from Route 96. It's shielded by trees. It's my private property. I don't believe anybody can even see me back out there. It's highly unlikely that anyone would. And so I felt, I don't know, communing with nature might be a good idea. I felt also I think that there's a stress, I felt -- I felt stress coming with the war in Iraq. That's definitely the case, too.

However, I don't think my behavior turned too bizarre until after that, which would have been after I had gone out, walked around on the ground without any moccasins or anything on. I looked for moccasins or something, but I went out and did that anyway, so....

That is where I got the puncture in my foot and it is clear that I had an infection.

- Q. So, how did you come to be at Cayuga Medical Center for an evaluation?
- A. Well, I guess on the 4th of April my housemate, Alice Richardson, had suggested I was ill. And I felt that I was ill, also. I mean, my behavior was I knew

1 getting pretty out there. I felt like that. 2 asked me if I thought that I was delusional and I 3 agreed, yeah, I think I'm delusional. And so I agreed 4 to go in to Cayuga Medical Center. So, eventually we called an ambulance and when the ambulance came, I got 5 6 into the ambulance and went down to Cayuga Medical 7 Center. My feet at that time felt as if they were on 8 fire. My feet hurt tremendously. 9 Q. So, did they tell you they were going to be 10 admitting you to Elmira Psychiatric Center? 11 A. I don't know. I was out of it, okay. 12 Were you given any medication while at Cayuga Q. 13 Medical Center? Well, according to what I am told, supposedly I 14 A. was given an antibiotic. I was unaware at the time that 15 16 I was being -- that I was given Lorazepam, the 17 tranquilizer. 18 Q. And do you recall what your behavior was like when you arrived at the psychiatric center? 19 Yeah. Well, I do recall getting into the 20 Α. ambulance. I was asked to get into another ambulance to 21 22 go someplace else. And I went and got into the 23 ambulance, which was to bring me here. I don't recall arriving at the Elmira Psychiatric Center. 24

recall arriving. I don't recall most of the ambulance

where you were?

- ride. I recall getting in the ambulance and that's

  about it.

  Q. Well, when you first got to the Elmira

  Psychiatric Center, were you disoriented or did you know
  - A. I can't recall. I must have been disoriented. I was -- I certainly did not know where I was, having never been there before. And I was definitely delusional, no doubt about it.
  - Q. Do you recall the incident with the staff member where you assaulted her?
  - A. No, I do not. I recall roaming up and down the halls. This is part of the delusional system. I mean, I believed I was in some kind of underground place. Actually had something to do with Saddam Hussein and the end of the world. But at any rate, I thought it was some kind of underground facility because you can't see out the windows unless the drapes are open. There are the bizarre black floors, the general bizarre appearance of the facility which has been tapped over with many upgrades and modifications that don't -- are not visually coherent.

So, in my confused state I thought this place was really some bizarre version of hell. One end of -- one end of the fifth floor you can hear the throbbing of the

ventilation units. It's, it's a powerful throbbing noise. So, I thought that that was some kind of like submarine end of the unit. I was delusional and I was confused. I don't recall anything to do with the nurse.

However, I will say I realized later on -- I knew that my room number was 516. And I found out later on that there are two room 516s on that floor. Every room number is doubled on that floor. 501, 502, 503, 504. They are mirror images, the men's and women's units. And the doors are not closed, they are hardly ever closed. I've only seen them closed once in the three weeks I've been there. And there is no indication that one is a men's unit and the other is the women's. None at all. Those doors are left open all night and I was roaming up and down the corridors all night. Well, I don't know for how long. Until I got into trouble. That's how long it was.

At which time I do remember being placed in the restraint. It hurt like hell. I had a previously cracked rib from an incident in 1996. And that was cracked again, which is the reason I was prescribed -- I was offered Motrin for the pain from that. Fortunately, it doesn't seem like it was really grievously broken or anything, it is just aggravated.

1 Q. And after that incident when you first came in, 2 at what time did you feel yourself clearing mentally? Monday. By Monday, Tuesday. By Tuesday I felt 3 that I had recovered. 4 5 Q. Which date was that? Well, it would have been the 8th. I had --6 I'm sorry, do you recall meeting with 7 8 Dr. Povinelli or Dr. Roberts at that time? 9 A. Vaguely I do. I do recall meeting with Dr. Povinelli. Dr. Roberts, the interview with 10 11 Dr. Roberts is very unclear. I do recall being seen by 12 the physician's assistant, whose office, by the way, has 13 some very bizarre doormats including a picture of babies in little pots. 14 15 So, do you recall the first time Dr. Roberts, or anybody on the staff, offered you some psychotropic or 16 mood stabilizer medication? 17 In fact, they never offered it. It appeared. 18 Α. 19 was being offered the drug Keflex, K-E-F-L-E-X, for the 20 infection and I was told at first I rejected it. I 21 probably just didn't know what it was and instinctly (sic) reject something when I don't know what it is. 22 started taking that. And then the Zyprexa or Olanzapine 23 started appearing. 24

I guess I'm not sure exactly when it was.

- sometime I believe after I had recovered my sanity. And
  when I saw it, what's this? This is Zyprexa. And then
  I -- well, Olanzapine, I recognized what it was. I
  said, well, I don't need this and I never took any of
  it. I never took one pill.
  - Q. Dr. Roberts testified that she met with you several times subsequent to April 8th. And that she tried to discuss things with you or that you were becoming evasive or tangential in some way. Do you recall any of those conversations?
  - A. In fact, besides that first meeting and very brief second meeting which was regarding my requesting privileges, which would have been about the 8th, in fact, she never brought this up with me.
  - Q. The meeting this morning with Mr. Povinelli and Dr. Roberts, how long did that last for?
    - A. And Megan?
  - Q. And Megan.

- A. How long did it last? Oh, I guess it must have been 30 minutes.
- Q. Okay. The testimony this morning from

  Dr. Roberts and Dr. Povinelli indicated that the

  circumstances of your 1997 incident came up and your

  rationale for them?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And they talked about the <u>Silence of the Lambs</u> and Hannibal Lecter. Do you recall talking about that?
  - A. Indeed I do.
  - Q. And how was that brought up?
- A. They asked me what went on to cause the incident with the trailer, the arson. They asked me what were the circumstances. And so I attempted to explain the circumstances. Dr. Povinelli on the stand claimed that I brought this up spontaneously. In fact, I was asked the question. The question was posed, what happened February 6? They didn't use the date 1997. Why did you burn down the trailer? And I attempted to respond.

Well, first off, I was made ill by these psychiatric medications, I was in a confused state. And eventually I was physically better, but I was not truly mentally better. I believed that since physically I had recovered I was going to be okay. I had known at the beginning of January I was in a dreadful mental state, but it didn't concern me much because I was incapable of getting out of bed practically.

But then the, the beginning of February because my former girlfriend, Susan Hamann, had repeatedly discussed one of the features of the book <u>Silence of the Lambs</u>, Jame Gumb's little dog and the justification or excuse -- not justification or excuse, but an

- 97 1 explanation that he is not a bad person even though he 2 might be psychopathic, which was that he still loved his 3 little dog. And Susan Hamann had mentioned this several times in our conversations. And so I decided to read 4 5 the book and take a look at it. I can go on and elaborate, but it will be a long story. 6 Well --7 Q. 8 Α. The issue was brought up. I'm not sure whether 9 it was Dr. Roberts or Dr. Povinelli, who brought it up.

  - Q. Did you state at any time that this was a current state of mind today?
  - Well, the truth is that I still believe that Susan Hamann resembles the character in the book in important respects. And I don't believe any of that belief is delusional, it's coincidental. Strictly a matter of coincidence.
  - And did you convey that to the two doctors this Q. morning?
  - Α. I attempted to.
  - Was any medication discussed this morning with Q. the two doctors?
    - Α. Not that I can recall, no.
    - Q. Did they discuss any course of treatment for you?
- Α. No. 24

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

What was the substance of the meeting then? What Q.

exactly went on?

- A. Well, what prompted the meeting is this court appearance.
  - Q. Did they discuss any diagnosis for you?
  - A. Gosh, they were asking me questions. No, not really. Dr. Povinelli asked me a question about my marijuana use. He asked whether my marijuana use -- whether I believed that it had ever affected my judgment or ever caused me any problems. And I said, no, I did not believe it has. There were no specific questions that I can recall. I mean, definitely no one has ever discussed the bipolar disorder diagnosis with me.
  - Q. The testimony this morning indicated you were on ward restriction, which means you don't go to any programs?
    - A. Yes.
      - Q. How do you fill your day on the unit?
  - A. Gosh, it's hard. It's difficult to read in there. It's noisy. Watch some TV. The one good aspect of this commitment has been I got to watch the statute of Saddam Hussein fall. I saw the whole thing in real time on CNN.
    - Q. Do you get any kind of therapy?
- 24 A. I kill time.
  - Q. So, you don't do anything constructive in terms

of therapy or --

- A. No. I'm not allowed outside. I have been allowed outside, in fact, by staff, because staff see that I'm responsible, I'm cooperative. If they ask me to do something, I will do it as long as it's -- well, I will do it, you know, if they don't ask anything really unreasonable, so....
- Q. And what's been the status of your computer business since you've been admitted?
- A. I have gotten a friend of mine, Bill Garrison -he is the person who helped keep my business going when
  I was in Rochester back in 1998. So, he can process
  orders for me. It took awhile to get him going with it
  again because he had to get a key to my house from my
  former wife, Ann Marie Whelan. But he is -- he can't
  really deal with support questions.

He has brought over support questions for me to write out answers in longhand to and then hand back to him so he can E-mail my irate clients, who some of them have been waiting for more than ten days for a registration code. In general, I promise five days, five working days to get a registration code from an on-line order.

And, for example, one strange incident was I have a prospective client, the Anchorage Public School

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

System, which is looking at a \$2,500 license. after the incident, soon after I was brought in here, they actually sent the Tompkins -- they called up the Tompkins County Sheriff's Department and had them send over a patrol car to find out what was happening because they couldn't get through to my business. As it turns out, the phones were dead because the -- my phone wires had somehow become waterlogged due to the down power. Q. What do you think would happen to your business

- if you continue to stay at the Psychiatric Center?
- Eventually it will collapse. The people in Anchorage are quite upset that I haven't been able to communicate with them. Bill Garrison has held them off by stating, well, Mr. Saunders expects he will be out in another week and then -- out of the hospital in another week and will be able to handle your support questions. They are performing an evaluation of the software and they need a little bit of handholding in order to get going.
- Q. Do you know if you are being billed for your stay at the Psychiatric Center?
  - I certainly will be billed for my stay. Α.
  - I'm sorry? Q.
- Α. I am a private patient. I am not on Social Security Disability, although I am qualified for Social

- 101 Security Disability. My application was rejected back 1 2 in 1998. I am not on Medicaid and cannot qualify for Medicaid because I have assets. And I have no 3 4 insurance. There is no way I could ever be able to get 5 health insurance with the diagnoses that have been made, 6 so.... 7 Q. Do you know how much money is being billed for 8 your stay? 9 Well, after two weeks, Megan finally came back Α. with something close to an answer. Which is it will be 10 from \$700 to \$800 a day. 11 12 Do you have the resources to pay that kind of Q.
- 13 money?

I do not.

- Q. Mr. Saunders, do you feel you are getting any kind of substance and treatment staying at the Elmira Psychiatric Center?
- A. I do not.
- Q. Do you feel that you are currently a threat to anybody including yourself?
- A. No.

Α.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. What would your plan be if you were released from the hospital?
- A. Go home actually and first greet my friends, my mother who has come up here to help support me through

1 And my friend Alice, who's been tremendously 2 helpful. And our cats. That's the first thing. And 3 then take care of business, try to make sure that any 4 critical support questions are being answered and in 5 particular the folks in Anchorage. It's \$2,500 on the 6 line for me. That's a significant amount of money. 7 0. Thank you, Mr. Saunders. 8 MR. WENIG: I don't have any other 9 questions. 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION 11 BY MS. COCCHIOLA: 12 Q. Mr. Saunders, do you use another name, Bonze 13 Blayk? Well, it's usually pronounced Bonze Blayk. 14 Α. 15 Excuse me? Q. 16 Α. Spelled B-O-N-Z-E. 17 B --Q. 18 B-L-A-Y-K. Α. 19 Right. Q. 20 A. Yes. 21 And what do you use that word -- or that name Q. 22 for? 23 Α. It's a stage name. And are you an actor? 24 Q. 25 Α. No. I am a musician.

1 And when have you last performed as a musician 0. 2 under that name? 3 Α. Actually, it was several years ago. A number of 4 years ago. Since this plea was entered and since I 5 entered the supervision of the OMH, I have become rather 6 shy about going out. In general, you see, I use my 7 whole name on my software, I include the a/k/a so the 8 DataComet window that comes up lists my name is Kevin 9 Eric Saunders, a/k/a Bonze Blayk. 10 So, you have some computer printouts that will 11 have that on there? 12 Well, if you view it on screen, it comes up on Α. the screen. It includes my copyright notice. If you 13 14 haven't registered, it's brought up automatically. 15 Now, I wanted to ask. You indicated that you Q. worked for Cornell? 16 17 Α. Yes. You felt it was around 1985 or '86 did you say? 18 Q. I started in 1986 I believe. 19 Α. 20 And you were -- actually you were placed on administrative leave twice, isn't that true? 21 That's false. 22 A. So, if it's included in a report from the 23 Rochester Psychiatric Center based on conversations with 24 you, that would be inaccurate?

- A. Yes. In fact, they claimed there was a referral in 1990, which never took place.
  - Q. And another in '92?
  - A. They're in error derived from an error on my part. I made a typographical error and listed the one referral I had as occurring in 1990. In fact, there is only one referral, it was in 1992.
  - Q. Oh, okay. So, actually it lists two. So, there really was only one?
    - A. There was one.
    - Q. In '92 when you were referred to the EAP program?
- 12 A. That's correct.
  - Q. As sort of a mediation between yourself and supervisors?
  - A. Well, the issue, the big question was whether I had threatened to release a computer virus. There was a rumor going around that I had threatened "to bring Cornell computer services to its knees." That rumor came from unknown sources and was totally false. At that time I was upset that another employee was going to be brought on our team. We had six months to complete a very, very difficult, complex computer project involving dial-up access to the Cornell backbone. We were going to write custom software which was run on Zylogic (phonetic) routers.

- Q. Let me ask you this.
- 2 A. Yes.

- Q. There came a point when you were asked to leave the program, you were asked --
  - A. That's false.
- Q. Well, didn't they ask you to find employment elsewhere and they seceded the rights of the work product to you for \$250?
- 9 A. False.
- Q. And you left your job at Cornell and went to
  Millennium Computer in Rochester then?
- 12 A. That's true.
- 13 Q. That part of it is true?
- 14 A. In fact.
- 15 Q. You quit there as well, right?

time of the arson in 1997?

- 16 A. Hum?
- 17 Q. Millennium Computer, you quit there as well?
- 18 A. Yes, I did quit after nine months.
- Q. Now, you also -- you indicated just briefly that you had -- you were feeling delusional thoughts at the
- 22 A. Oh, yes.

- Q. And part of the delusional thoughts related to your reading of the book <u>Silence of the Lambs</u>?
- 25 A. Yes.

1 And part of that delusional behavior you were Q. 2 dressed up in an evening gown, right? 3 It wasn't a gown. Α. 4 Q. Well, it was a skirt? Ankle length with a slit? 5 Α. The slit was in front. 6 Okay. You had high heels on? Q. Indeed. 7 Α. And your hair was as it is now? 8 Q. 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Or did you have a wig on? 11 Α. I don't wear wigs. Okay. And you also were dressed up in this, in 12 Q. the heels and the dress, and went to the trailer? 13 Α. Yes. 14 15 And at that time you were feeling that the --Q. 16 there was a three-fingered left hand in her trailer? In fact. 17 Α. 18 That was related to Hannibal Lecter's hand, Q. 19 right? 20 Yeah. A. 21 And you saw this in your mind across from a can Q. 22 of flammable material? That's not correct. In fact, there was a 23 A. three-foot-high fingered hand that was confirmed by 24 Susan Hamann when I spoke with her. 25

Yes.

1 The three-foot-tall three-fingered left hand was Q. 2 real? 3 Α. It was real according to Susan. She picked it up off the roadside somewhere. 4 5 Q. Well, you saw this? 6 Yeah. Α. 7 And you also saw some hat racks and summer hats? Q. Yes. 8 Α. 9 Q. And you felt this to be a --That was not a hallucination, it was real. 10 Α. 11 But you felt that was related to Silence of the Q. 12 Lambs? Well, actually, later on I realized that it 13 Α. 14 probably was in some way. I mean, it is just coincidence. 15 16 A tableau you said? Q. Yes, the tableau. 17 A. 18 Q. Tableau? 19 It was a tableau. Α. 20 So, you saw this tableau which you felt was, was Q. similar to the prominent theme of Silence of the Lambs? 21 Well, that's a theme that runs through the entire 22 Α. book. 23 So, that's yes? 24 Q. A.

25

and set the fire, right?

1	Q. And then you also felt that your girlfriend
2	Susan's character and her characteristics fit nicely to
3	the character of Clarice Starling, isn't that right?
4	A. That's true.
5	Q. And that you they actually used the key image
6	of Clarice without referring to a source you said? Did
7	you say that they you actually used the key image of
8	Clarice, but you did not use it refer to it as a
9	source? You thought that Susan and Clarice were like
10	the same person?
11	A. No. I felt that the book had been
12	Q. Based on her?
13	A based on her somehow.
14	Q. But didn't refer to her?
15	A. I thought that Susan had a mysterious past. I
16	still feel that she did have a mysterious past, some of
17	which she was not completely open with me about.
18	Q. I see.
19	A. And that that was part of it. Of course, I was
20	delusional, I was under the influence of drugs.
21	Q. And you mean the psychiatric drugs that were
22	prescribed?
23	A. Yes.

And so then you put the flammable material around

- 1 Α. Yes. It took about 15 seconds.
- 2 And then it also -- it burned the trailer to the Ο. 3 ground, right?
  - It did. Α.
  - And destroyed her car? Q.
- 6 Α. It did.

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

- And this was reported by a neighbor who saw it 8 happening?
  - Α. Yes.
    - And you actually gave a statement to the police Q. admitting your involvement?
    - The statement was altered by the officer who recorded it, though. My statement was modified. Typed into to correct it at the time.
    - Then at some point you entered a plea of not Ο. responsible by reason of mental disease or defect?
    - Α. Mm-mm.
    - Initially you did have an outpatient appointment Q. at Rochester Psychiatric Center, but they actually asked you to come in for inpatient, isn't that right?
- That's correct. 21 Α.
  - And isn't that because they wanted you to stop Q. smoking marijuana before the examination?
    - They never once asked me to stop smoking. Α.
    - Well, they knew you were smoking marijuana at the Q.

Didn't they?

Yes.

24

25

Q.

Α.

1 time of the outpatient? 2 They did know. They never once said I Yes. 3 should stop. 4 And they asked you, though, to come in and do an Q. 5 out -- or inpatient exam? б They asked the Court to require an inpatient Α. 7 exam. 8 Right. Because they didn't feel that they could Q. 9 do an adequate examination with you outpatient using 10 marijuana? Does that make sense? 11 Α. In fact, no, it doesn't make sense to me. 12 To you. Okay. Q. Yes. 13 Α. Well, in any event, you went inpatient and they 14 Q. 15 did the examinations and they reviewed --16 That's true. Α. In fact, they did -- wait a minute, let me 17 Q. 18 finish. They did physical examinations of you? 19 Α. Yes. 20 They did EEGs, they did --Q. 21 A. Correct. They ruled out a lot of physical problems? 22 Q. Correct. 23 Α.

Α.

25

False.

Isn't it true that when you were there a few days 1 Q. 2 being off marijuana that you started complaining about 3 this numbness and tingling in your extremities? 4 Α. That's not correct. 5 ο. So, if that's in the report, that's incorrect as well? 6 7 Α. There are many errors in those reports. 8 Let me ask. You indicated that in the days and Ο. 9 months leading up to February of 1997 at the time of the 10 arson that you were feeling ill and sick? 11 Α. No. 12 You were feeling sick? Q. 13 A. No, I was not. 14 0. So, you weren't? 15 A. I had noted when I saw Dr. Stackman that I had felt that for some months I had been feeling this kind 16 17 of oddness in my extremities, in my lower legs in particular. 18 I see. 19 Q. 20 Dr. Stackman noted in his report that Prozac can induce these paresthesia. 21 22 Q. Let me ask you. Did you also get arrested in December of 1996 for driving under the influence of 23 marijuana? 24

Α.

1 Q. You weren't arrested for that? I was arrested for DWI. 2 Α. 3 Q. It wasn't DUI? 4 Α. It was not. That's false. 5 Q. So, you were under the influence of alcohol then? Yes. 6 Α. 7 Q. And not marijuana at the time? 8 A. I had not been smoking marijuana at that time. I 9 had not been smoking for more than two weeks I believe. 10 Q. I'm talking about December of '96. That's correct. 11 Α. So, you hadn't been on marijuana at all? 12 Q. I was not smoking at that time. 13 Α. 14 Were you ingesting it otherwise? Q. 15 Α. No, I was not. 16 Do you ingest it? Q. 17 I have not eaten marijuana in any form for over A. 18 like, what, 23 years. So, you only smoke? 19 0. 20 That's correct. Α. And you smoke on a daily basis? 21 Q. Generally when I am smoking I do. 22 Α. 23 Q. And about how much would you say you smoke on a 24 daily basis?

With very small amounts but over the entire day,

1 less than a quarter of a joint, about .25 grams. And I 2 prefer low grade, medium grade Mexican, not strong stuff, mild stuff. 3 4 Q. So, you don't grow it yourself? 5 Α. I do not. It's a federal felony. But you buy it from someone? 6 Q. 7 (Shrugs) Α. 8 Is that a yes or a no? Q. 9 Α. Yes. 10 Q. And from whom do you buy it? 11 Α. On that I will not comment. 12 MS. COCCHIOLA: Judge, I am asking him to be 13 directed to answer the question. 14 THE COURT: What's the relevance of who he 15 buys from? 16 MS. COCCHIOLA: I'm wondering if he, in fact, has other individuals that he's using 17 marijuana with on a more frequent basis. 18 19 THE COURT: That's not what you asked him. 20 Q. Let me ask you this. You said you smoke it 21 daily? 22 Α. Mm-mm. And you have been doing this for several years. 23 Q. In general, it's not true. The treatment team 24 Α. stated, as they did in the meeting on March the 14th, 25

- they have a statement that I had been smoking

  continuously since I was 23. That's not true. In

  general, I prefer to smoke daily. I have at different

  times not smoked. As for example, when I entered

  therapy at Family & Children Services.
  - Q. Well, weren't you terminated from Family & Children Services because they wanted you to stop smoking marijuana?
    - A. In fact, they wanted to refer me to a --
- 10 Q. Detox?

7

8

9

11

- A. -- inpatient treatment for detox.
- 12 Q. Right.
- 13 A. My illness was not caused by marijuana.
- Q. So, you disagreed with their, their conclusions?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, let me ask you this. You indicated that
  you've told this treatment team at Elmira Psychiatric
  Center that you feel that you can control your anxiety
  with marijuana?
- 20 A. That's -- no.
- 21 Q. You didn't say that?
- 22 A. No. That's not correct.
- Q. Have you told them that you can't work unless you use marijuana?
  - A. That's not quite true. I'm more productive when

1 I smoke.

- Q. I see.
  - A. It helps me concentrate, it helps me focus.
    - Q. Did you tell Dr. Roberts on admission that you will only take marijuana as far as any kind of drugs or treatment?
    - A. I don't really recall my conversation with Dr. Roberts, so I can't say. I was in a state of confusion.
    - Q. Now, when you talk about they aren't doing anything for you as far as treatment --
      - A. Yes.
    - Q. -- and so forth, isn't it true that when you have met with the -- with Megan Lawrence, the social worker, and she talks about treatment and treatment planning and groups, you've indicated you are not sick, you don't intend to participate?
    - A. What I've indicated is -- first off, I've had very few discussions with Megan outside of the practical matters. In terms of participation in groups and so forth, what I've said is I'm not interested in group therapy. My therapist in Tompkins County whose notes have clearly not been consulted, the four years of therapy that I went through with Linda Riley, who is an expert forensic counselor, have never really been

- consulted. Linda Riley's opinions, who have been ignored --
  - Q. Let me ask you this --

- A. Her opinion was that I have an untreatable personality disorder, okay.
  - Q. Let me ask you. Isn't it true that you have declined participation in the groups when you've talked to Ms. Lawrence, you've indicated that you don't intend to participate?
  - A. I said that I'm interested in -- I'll go with whatever is recreational. At this point in time -- given the fact that my treatment team in Elmira Psychiatric Center has held many wild beliefs, they have presented to me wild allegations about a criminal past which are false, they have never corrected these allegations --
    - Q. Well, let me ask you --
  - A. So, I don't see a foundation for therapy in a -- without a revision of the history.
  - Q. Now, you have indicated your concern with the discrepancies that are in your case.
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. And, in fact, you have brought that up to Ms. Stevens on occasion?
    - A. Repeatedly.

A.

25

1	Q. In fact, one of the discrepancies is the fact
2	that while it's reported in the records that you were in
3	possession of two knives and a meat cleaver after the
4	instant offense, after the arson, that it was actually
5	four knives?
6	A. No. In fact, I was reported in possession of two
7	knives and the statements that police made, they made
8	these under oath, they claim that I had two knives. And
9	the truth is that I had four knives, two of those knives
10	were suppressed. They engaged in a totally illegal and
11	immoral
12	Q. Isn't that what I just asked you?
13	A. No, you said two you said that in the record
14	there are two knives and a meat cleaver. Okay?
15	Q. But you had the meat cleaver?
16	A. You said three knives. I don't remember what I
17	had because the knives weren't all that significant.
18	Q. I see. Now, as far as a mental illness, you
19	don't believe you have a mental illness?
20	A. That's false.
21	Q. Do you believe you have a mental illness?
22	A. I already acknowledged having a personality
23	disorder.
24	Q. Well, when

I have suffered from depression.

Q.

1 Q. When the doctors talk to you, don't you deny to 2 them you have a mental illness? 3 I deny of a mental illness sufficient to -- under Α. 4 the legal requirements that I require care, treatment 5 and rehabilitation. I have been trying to argue this 6 with them. They argue from a false history with me. 7 This is extremely distressful. Now -- and you indicated it was distressful for 8 Q. 9 you to be advised in March that they were going to recommend extension of the order of conditions? 10 11 There had been no prior discussion, yes. Α. And that was distressful to you that they were 12 going to continue to have you on this order of 13 conditions, right? 14 Yes. 15 Α. And the order of conditions include an order that 16 17 you submit to appropriate laboratory testing, to take 18 medications as directed by the treating physician, that's one of the conditions, right? 19 It says may include. 20 A. But is not limited to, right. That's one of the 21 Q. conditions here, isn't that right? 22 23 A. I think their requirement of medical rationality 24 which have to be met --Oh, you think because you --

1	A. In fact
2	Q. You would disagree that if someone recommends
3	this, that it's not really an order, part of the judge's
4	order?
5	A. To begin with, I did legal research, also. And I
6	believe that that is a laundry list. It is a form that
7	was provided.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Hold on. We are not
9	going to litigate the Tompkins County Court
10	order.
11	MS. COCCHIOLA: I agree, Judge, you're
12	right.
13	THE COURT: Please.
14	Q. Let me just ask. You admit that you are not in
15	compliance with what's recommended?
16	A. I believe that I have substantially fulfilled my
17	duties to try to prevent recurrences of illness.
18	Q. And that's what you feel is your obligation?
19	A. I believe that the EPC has not helped me. In
20	fact, they have harmed me psychologically by subjecting
21	me to psychological distress with these false
22	accusations.
23	Q. Let me just ask you. When you were admitted in
24	the beginning of the month at Cayuga Medical Center, you
25	weren't on Trazodone, right?

some mental disorder?

1 Α. That's true. 2 You weren't on Prozac? Ο. 3 A. That's absolutely true. 4 Q. You weren't on Vistaril? 5 Α. That's true. 6 It's your testimony that your mental state was Q. 7 caused by this infection that you had? I'm not sure. 8 Α. 9 Oh, so --Q. 10 A. That's what I tend --11 Q. That's what you --That's a major factor. 12 Α. 13 -- you are saying? Q. 14 I believe that's a major factor. Α. 15 Q. You were out of it, you were outside naked, all 16 of those things --Outside naked is, is not even criminal I believe. 17 Α. 18 I didn't say it was criminal, I'm just asking if Q. 19 it occurred. Yes, it's rational behavior. 20 Α. 21 And you were naked on the ward at the Elmira Psychiatric Center? 22 By that time I was definitely out of it, okay. 23 Α. Okay. So, you were definitely suffering from 24

1 A. Yes.

- Q. And you don't recall the ride to Elmira

  Psychiatric Center, so you very well could have been

  screaming and yelling?
  - A. I, I don't know. Quite possibly, yes.
  - Q. And as far as your, your admission, you declined to give a screen to the doctors as far as any urine or blood? Isn't that true?
  - A. After a week had elapsed, I refused to accept any further orders from Dr. Roberts.
  - Q. So, you're declining to, to do anything she asks you to do?
  - A. I'm declining any orders from Dr. Roberts. The Olanzapine suddenly appeared and I thought it was inappropriate not to discuss -- not to have a real discussion with me what is the medication supposed to do, what the side effects might be. Instead, I was just offered the medication and told I was supposed to take it.
  - Q. Well, didn't she tell you on April 11th about it and you said you are not taking any medication whatsoever?
  - A. By April 11th I had fully recovered from sanity.

    I was rational on April 11th. And that was -- was that
    before or after this treatment team meeting?

1	Q. I'm asking you. Did you tell her on April 11th
2	that you are not taking any medication whatsoever?
3	A. Well, I was in fact taking the Keflex.
4	Q. I'm talking about the psychotropic when she
5	offered you the Zydis.
6	A. I don't believe it's Zydis, it's Zyprexa.
7	MS. COCCHIOLA: I have nothing further.
8	Thank you.
9	THE COURT: All right. The witness is
10	excused.
11	(Whereupon the witness was excused)
12	MR. WENIG: Your Honor, I have no other
13	evidence to present. I'd like to make a brief
14	closing statement.
15	THE COURT: Sure. Evidence closed?
16	MS. COCCHIOLA: Yes, Judge.
17	MR. WENIG: Your Honor, as the testimony
18	today indicated, Mr. Saunders was admitted to the
19	Elmira Psychiatric Center on April 4th for
20	bizarre behavior. And as his testimony
21	indicated, he freely admits that he was acting
22	bizarrely, irrationally, delusional at that time.
23	In fact, there was an episode where he
24	struck a staff member. And as Mr. Saunders
25	testified, he cannot recall the facts surrounding

that incident, but Mr. Saunders did testify that
his behavior and his mental state has cleared
dramatically since that time.

And as he testified for roughly the last hour-and-a-quarter, your Honor, I would ask the Court to review its notes and its recollection of his testimony to see whether the Court observed any irrational, tangential or bizarre behavior. This is the kind of behavior that was described by Dr. Povinelli and Dr. Roberts in their individual testimony earlier today.

They have been painting Mr. Saunders as continually ill, irrational and unusual in his behavior. As he's testified here today, in the process of being at the Psychiatric Center his mental state has cleared to the point where he feels he's ready to return to the community. He's a businessman, he runs the business by himself. His business, as he's testified, is suffering dramatically in his absence and he's also being subjected to the burden and stress financially of having to pay eventually for his stay here at the Psychiatric Center where he's admitted against his will.

I should also point out, your Honor, that

Mr. Saunders has not received any psychotropic medication aside from the administration during his assaultive episode on April 6th since he was admitted. And even without the medication, he's been able to clear mentally to the point where he can speak rationally, cogently and fairly about not only his positive aspects, but also the negative aspects of his behavior not just now, but also back in 1997 which led to his designation as a Criminal Procedure Law patient on order of conditions.

As Mr. Saunders testified, he has been regularly going for his visits for the last five years with his various clinicians in the community, he has tried to tow the line. He has done this for the most part at his own expense, including the various drug screenings that have been requested of him. And as he testified, he kept doing this to the point where he felt it was not no longer fair or clinically warranted in his case.

Again, Mr. Saunders has tried to present his perspective based on his own personal research with his clinicians as to why the events of January 1990 -- excuse me, February of 1997

occurred that led to his arrest. And as he's testified, he has not had any substantive dialogue with his clinicians on this issue. His clinicians appear to characterize this as a conclusion that is not based in fact. When from Mr. Saunders' perspective it is based in fact and no one has been able to persuade him or even discuss with him it is a conclusion of some kind.

Your Honor, I submit that Mr. Saunders has reached the point clinically where he should be released from the center and he can return home and continue with his business and deal with the various court proceedings that he is now facing with the Office of Mental Health for the recommitment to a secure facility, which is to occur Monday as the Court was informed, and also for the renewal of his order of conditions.

Mr. Saunders has indicated he, he will continue with the treatment program that's being offered him through the Office of Mental Health and he just wants a chance to succeed. Thank you, Judge.

MS. COCCHIOLA: Judge, I think if you listen to Mr. Saunders testimony, I don't think that you're talking to someone who is actually

I think -- and being a layman, I feel if you look and listen to his testimony, you are going to find that he is actually grandiose, he has -- still has bizarre beliefs about the instant offense, the arson. He is just not willing to agree that he has an illness that needs treatment.

And I don't think it's a coincidence that he's been in the Elmira Psychiatric Center for a matter of three weeks without any marijuana and he has not had any -- he is basically detoxified the first week. Doctors testified that having bipolar illness untreated using marijuana will cause somebody to become more manic. That's what they were looking at on April 4th when he had his -- what you might call his break with reality.

By his own admission, he says he was out of it and he was losing it and stressed. He wants to attribute that to he had some infection with his foot maybe he's thinking. He can't give a rational explanation. He wasn't on these psychotropic medications at the time.

It's -- the doctors are not painting him as

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

continually irrational. They admit that he's improved. But their fear is when he is out in the community, he will go back to be doing what he was doing, that he won't accept his diagnosis, that he will continue to use marijuana and become ill again. And the fact is he was not cooperative with the treatment plan, but he was only being monitored -- as Ms. Stevens said, they were doing the best they can do just to keep, keep an eye on him, so to speak, so when something like this happened, that he could get the appropriate, necessary treatment. And I ask that the Court deny his request for relief. 16 17

THE COURT: I suspect the most interesting thing that has occurred during this afternoon's hearing is that Dr. Roberts has probably learned more about her patient this afternoon than she has at any moment in time up until now. This is probably the most that Mr. Saunders has discussed himself in the presence of Dr. Roberts since his hospitalization.

The sadness is that, according to Dr. Roberts, when she has attempted to engage in meaningful discussions with Mr. Saunders, he has

avoided doing so. Thereby frustrating her ability to appropriately and accurately develop a diagnosis and, after that having been done, to identify appropriate treatment for Mr. Saunders.

Because it is absolutely clear -- and,

frankly, Mr. Saunders acknowledges -- that there
have been moments in his life where he has become
extremely psychotic, experiencing auditory
hallucinations and extreme symptoms to the extent
of terrifying even himself. That's, in the
Court's mind at least, a beginning for
Mr. Saunders to acknowledge this. And that's
'97, though, that he's talking about.

I'm not sure that Mr. Saunders has come to full grips with what occurred to him earlier in April of this year which led to his present hospitalization. And that is evident that he is much more -- he grasps much more acutely the event of 1997 than he grasps the early week of April 2003 and what led to his psychotic episode that got him hospitalized in the first place.

I am not going to try to be -- hold myself out as a physician or psychologist or psychiatrist, I am not. I am a judge. But it does seem somewhat preposterous that a simple

1 puncture and infection to a foot and attempted 2 treatment of it would lead to the kind of 3 psychotic episode that he experienced in April, which led to his present hospitalization. 4 Something much more serious has to have been at 5 work. And that's exactly what I believe 7 Dr. Roberts and Dr. Povinelli are trying to get at.

> Mr. Wenig, I agree with you. I've listened to your client, he is quite articulate, but there is a difference between intelligent articulation and coherent understanding of ones mental illness.

> I've been in this business a long time and some of the most terribly mentally ill people I've met have been extremely brilliant people and capable of amazing feats of intelligence and articulate discussion. And I expect Mr. Saunders is in that category. I expect he's an extremely intelligent man.

> It's just that right now he's doing battle with himself as to what got him hospitalized back in April. And it worries the Court that by doing this battle within himself he is frustrating the efforts of the doctors who he sees. And that's

10 11

6

8

9

14

15

12

13

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

clear. There is a level of paranoia that even a layman can see. He sees Dr. Roberts and Dr. Povinelli as his enemy, as doing him harm and he sees that as their purpose. And the Court does not accept that premise.

He's been diagnosed by Dr. Roberts as bipolar with psychotic features. The Court accepts that diagnosis and it -- and the fact that it is exacerbated by his marijuana dependence. And the Court is concerned that he is not accepting or acceptive of this effort to diagnose and treat him. And that demonstrates to me that he does not -- as intelligent as he is, he does not truly comprehend what it is that afflicts him and brings him to these extraordinary psychotic episodes, by his own statement. Episodes which are so powerful that they terrify even him.

The Court is convinced that he needs
hospitalization to continue to hopefully from
this day forward engage, however grudgingly -and I suspect it will be grudging, because of his
mistrust. But if his dialogue with Dr. Roberts
can be said -- well, if today's testimony can
operate as a springboard, I guess that's the way

5

6

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 I meant to say it, to greater dialogue with Dr. Roberts and Dr. Povinelli, the Court would hope that may be a significant breakthrough to 3 identify a medication that is appropriate or treatment that is appropriate and that is acceptable to Mr. Saunders that he will hopefully achieve that level of mental health that he is 7 not yet at. 9 Yes, it's clear, if I compare his measured 10 speech today and calm exterior to the 11

descriptions of what he was like when he was admitted, of course there's been improvement. But improvement is not the standard by which courts are instructed to assess applications for release from medical or psychiatric facilities.

The Court is satisfied that the mental illness of this patient has been established, the treatment in a hospital is necessary and that as of today his judgment is impaired by his inability to realistically come to grasp or attempt to come to grasp with what it is that leads him to these psychotic episodes.

And until he does that and makes a conscious and bona fide effort to do that, he does present a risk to himself and to others when he enters

the psychotic episodes as manifested by the '97 arson committed while he was in one of these states and by an attack on a staff member at the Elmira Psychiatric Center while he was in one of these states. The goal is to get him to a level of appreciation by either medication or treatment or both which will hopefully operate to guard against him ever entering one of these psychotic states again where he might injure himself or someone else. Application for release from the Psychiatric Center upon -- for the reasons stated is denied. 

## <u>CERTIFICATE</u>

I, Michele L. Lear, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages constitute a full, true and accurate transcript, to the best of my ability, utilizing computer-aided transcription, of the proceedings had in the aforesaid action on the 24th day of April, 2003.

> September 9, 2003. Dated:

Michele L. Lear

Official Court Reporter

MENTAL HYGIENE LEGAL SERVICE ROCHESTER, NEW YORK

SEP 1 1 2003